Hadron Spectroscopy:

Results and Ideas.



SFB/TR16



E. Klempt

Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen– und Kernphysik Universität Bonn Nußallee 14-16, D-53115 Bonn, GERMANY

e-mail: klempt@hiskp.uni-bonn.de

International Workshop on NEW PARTIAL WAVE ANALYSIS TOOLS FOR NEXT GENERATION HADRON SPECTROSCOPY EXPERIMENTS

June 20-22, 2012 Camogli, Italy

Hadron Spectroscopy: results and ideas

- 1. Why baryon spectroscopy
- 2. New results and PDG related issues
- 3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

Parity doublets and chiral symmetry

AdS/QCD

 $SU(6)\otimes O(3)$

Dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles

- 4. New results from ELSA
- 5. Summary

1. Why Baryon Spectroscopy?







• Mass of the Universe:

Dark energy	73%	
Dark matter	23%	
Intergalactic gas	3.6%	
Stars	0.4% ^{} atoms}	•

• Mass of atoms

Mass of quarks	1%
Mass of electrons	0.1%
Field energy	99%



What are $\uparrow\uparrow$ these objects?

• What are constituent quarks?

Nucleon tomography:

Mass is field energy!

$$M_N = \langle N \mid -\frac{9\alpha_s}{4\pi} (\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2) + \sum_{\text{flavors}} m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i \mid N \rangle .$$

• How to explore constituent quarks?



Nucleon spectroscopy:



Questions:

- Are constituent quarks the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- What are the effective forces between them ?

Findings:

- Linear Regge trajectories
- Many more resonances expected in symmetric quark models than observed





Diquark substructure? QCD strings? AdS/QCD?

H. Forkel and E. Klempt, Phys. Lett. B 679, 77 (2009).

Non-strange N*-resonances

U. Löring, B. Metsch, H. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. A 10, 395 (2001).

Constituent quarks confinement potential + residual interaction

 \leftrightarrow wrong degrees of freedom ?

Excited baryons from Lattice QCD



R.Edwards et al., arXiv:1104.5152 [hep-ph]

(m_{π} =400 MeV)

Exhibits the features SU(6) \otimes O(3)-symmetry

- \rightarrow Counting of levels consistent with non-rel. quark model
- \rightarrow Striking similarity with quark model
- \rightarrow No parity doubling

The lattice seems not to solve the problems!

Hadron Spectroscopy: results and ideas

1. Why baryon spectroscopy

2. New results and PDG related issues

3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

Parity doublets and chiral symmetry AdS/QCD $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$

Dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles

- 4. New results from ELSA
- 5. Summary

2. New results and PDG related issues

J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D86, 010001 (2012).

Resonance	Rating	$N_{\mathbf{pp}}$	Resonance	Rating	$N_{\mathbf{pp}}$	Resonance	Rating	$N_{\mathbf{pp}}$
$N(1440)1/2^+$	****	13	$N(1520)3/2^{-}$	****	17	$N(1535)1/2^{-}$	****	15
$N(1650)1/2^{-}$	****	18	$N(1675)5/2^{-}$	****	14	$N(1680)5/2^+$	****	17
N(1685)	*		$N(1700)3/2^{-}$	***	15	$N(1710)1/2^+$	***	14
$N(1720)3/2^+$	****	17	$N(1860)5/2^+$	**	9	$N(1875)3/2^{-}$	***	16
$N(1880)1/2^+$	**	20	$N(1895)1/2^{-}$	**	17	$N(1900)3/2^+$	***	18
$N(1990)7/2^+$	**	9	$N(2000)5/2^+$	**	11	$N(2040)3/2^+$	*	
$N(2060)5/2^-$	**	13	$N(2100)1/2^+$	*		$N(2150)3/2^{-}$	**	11
$N(2190)7/2^-$	****	11	$N(2220)7/2^{-}$	****	7	$N(2250)9/2^{-}$	****	
$N(2600)11/2^{-}$	***		$N(2700)13/2^+$	**				
$\Delta(1232)$	****	8	$\Delta(1600)3/2^+$	***	12	$\Delta(1620)1/2^-$	****	10
$\Delta(1700)3/2^-$	****	11	$\Delta(1750)1/2^+$	*		$\Delta(1900)1/2^-$	**	13
$\Delta(1905)5/2^+$	****	11	$\Delta(1910)1/2^+$	****	13	$\Delta(1920)3/2^+$	***	21
$\Delta(1930)5/2^-$	***		$\Delta(1940)3/2^-$	*	5	$\Delta(1950)7/2^+$	****	13
$\Delta(2000)5/2^+$	**		$\Delta(2150)1/2^-$	*		$\Delta(2200)7/2^-$	*	
$\Delta(2300)9/2^+$	**		$\Delta(2350)3/2^-$	*		$\Delta(2390)7/2^+$	*	
$\Delta(2420)11/2^+$	****		$\Delta(2400)9/2^-$	****		$\Delta(2750)13/2^-$	**	
$\Delta(2950)15/2^+$	**							

E.g.: V. Kuznetsov et al., Phys. Lett. B 647, 23 (2007); V. Kuznetsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 022201 (2011); I. Jaegle et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 89 (2011).

M. Ablikim et al. [BES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 80, 052004 (2009).

A. V. Anisovich, R. Beck, E. Klempt, V. A. Nikonov, A. V. Sarantsev and U. Thoma, Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 15 (2012);

 $N_{
m pp}$ particle properties were determined; 400 in total. Be cautious, there are ambiguities !

Promoted to three-star resonance

PDG related issues

- 1. Naming scheme:
 - $\Delta^{++}(2420)$: Need to know quantum numbers "by heart"
 - $\Delta^{++}(2420)H_{3,11}$: Refers to π^+p scattering. Need to know that H corresponds to L=5. Not straightforward to calculate $N\omega$ partial wave (which is also L=5).
 - $\Delta^{++}(2420)11/2^+$ is self explanatory and used in the new PDG edition.
- 2. Baryon part in PDG emphasizes properties of poles rather than of Breit-Wigner parameters
- 3. Branching ratios often not defined

a1(1260) [k

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{-}(1^{++})$$

Mass $m = 1230 \pm 40$ MeV ^[I] Full width $\Gamma = 250$ to 600 MeV

a1(1260) DECAY MODES	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	<i>p</i> (MeV/ <i>c</i>)	
$(\rho\pi)_{S-wave}$	seen	353	
$(\rho\pi)_{D-wave}$	seen	353	
$(\rho(1450)\pi)_{S-wave}$	seen	†	
$(\rho(1450)\pi)_{D-wave}$	seen	†	
$\sigma \pi$	seen	570) 570	
$f_0(980)\pi$	not seen	179	
$f_0(1370)\pi$	seen	Ť	
$f_2(1270)\pi$	seen	Ť	
$K\overline{K}^{*}(892) + c.c.$	seen	t	
$\pi\gamma$	seen	608	

N(1900) 3/2+

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Breit-Wigner mass}\approx 1900 \mbox{ MeV} \\ \mbox{Breit-Wigner full width}\sim 250 \mbox{ MeV} \\ \mbox{Re(pole position)}=1900 \pm 30 \mbox{ MeV} \\ \mbox{-2Im(pole position)}=200 {+100 \atop -60} \mbox{ MeV} \end{array}$

N(1900) DECAY MODES	Fraction (Γ _i /Γ)	p (MeV/c)	
Νπ	\sim 10 %	710	
Νη	\sim 12 %	579	
Nw	(39 ±9)%	401	
ΛΚ	0-10 %	477	
ΣΚ	(5.0±2.0) %	410	

$$\gamma p
ightarrow p \pi^0$$
 and $\gamma p
ightarrow p 2 \pi^0$

- Cascades via $\Delta(1232), N(1520)3/2^{-}, N(1680)5/2^{+}$
- Peak position change, pole positions remain





Three definitions of branching ratios:

1.
$$BR_a = \Gamma_a/\Gamma_{\rm BW}$$
, $m_{\rm BW}\Gamma_a = g_a^2 \rho_a(m_{BW}^2),$

where the energy dependent partial is defined by

 $\sqrt{s}\,\Gamma_a(s)=g_a^2
ho_a(s),g_a$ coupling constant, ho_a phase space. $\sum BR_a=1$ satisfied.

2.
$$BR' = \int_{\text{threshold}}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{g_a^2 \rho(s)}{(m_{BW}^2 - s)^2 + (\sum_a g_a^2 \rho_a(s))^2}$$
.
This definition allows for subthreshold decays. It corresponds to intuition but $\sum BR'_a = 1$ needs to be imposed.

3. $BR_{\text{pole}}(\text{channel } b) = \frac{|Res(\pi N \rightarrow b)|^2}{|Res(\pi N \rightarrow N\pi)| \cdot (\Gamma_{\text{pole}}/2)}$. The latter definition uses only pole related quantities.

Hadron Spectroscopy: results and ideas

- 1. Why baryon spectroscopy
- 2. New results and PDG related issues
- 3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

Parity doublets and chiral symmetry AdS/QCD $SU(6)\otimes O(3)$

Dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles

- 4. New results from ELSA
- 5. Summary

3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

3.1 Parity doublets and chiral symmetry

In the limit of massless quarks:

- 1. Pseudoscalar mesons become massless Goldstone bosons
- 2. Parity partners acquire the same mass
- 3. Spontaneous symmetry breaking leads to hadronic masses

Vector mesons \approx 700 MeVNucleon mass M_N \approx 1000 MeVIts parity partner $N(1535)1/2^-$ acquires a mass \approx 1500 MeV

$egin{array}{c c} N(1650)1/2^- \ N(1710)1/2^+ \end{array}$	$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$egin{array}{c c} N(1675)5/2^- \ N(1680)5/2^+ \end{array}$	$\Delta(2200)7/2^- \ \Delta(1905)7/2^+$ a.o.
$egin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$egin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$egin{array}{c} N(2180)7/2^- \ N(2105)7/2^+ \end{array}$

Nucleon resonances organized as parity doublets (solution BnGa2011-02).

Restoration of chiral symmetry?

L. Y. Glozman, Phys. Rept. 444, 1 (2007)

Meson and baryon resonances on the leading Regge trajectory have no parity partner!



Regge trajectory of Δ resonances: $M^2 \propto {
m L} + {
m N}$: non-relativistic concept !

- Mass is stored in strings which expand $\propto L+N$
- $(l_1, l_2), (n_1, n_2) \Longrightarrow (L, N)$
- Constituent quark mass is ill-defined
- AdS/QCD:

H. Forkel and E. Klempt, Phys. Lett. B 679, 77 (2009).

$$M^2 = a \cdot (\mathtt{L} + \mathtt{N} + 3/2) - b \, lpha_D$$

• Two-parameter fit reproduces mass spectrum $2 \times$ better than quark models, Skyrme models (or LQCD) !



3.3 $SU(6)\otimes O(3)$

	$J^{P} =$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}$ +	$\frac{5}{2}$ +	$\frac{7}{2}$ +
$(56, 2^+_2)$	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=2;$ N=0	$\Delta_{1/2^+}(1910)$	$\Delta_{3/2^+}(1920)$	$\Delta_{5/2^+}(1905)$	$\Delta_{7/2^+}^{}(1950)$
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=2;$ N=0		$N_{3/2}^{+}(1720)$	$N_{5/2}^{+}(1620)$	
$(70, 2^+_2)$	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=2;$ N=0		$\Delta_{3/2}^+$	$\Delta_{5/2}^+$	
	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=2;$ N=0	$N_{1/2}^{+}(1880)$	$N_{3/2}^{+}(1900)$	$N_{5/2}^{+}(2000)$	$N_{7/2}^{+}(1990)$
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=2;$ N=0		$N_{3/2}^+$	$N_{5/2}^{+}$	
2^{nd} (20, 1 ⁺ ₂)	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=1;$ N=0	N _{1/2} +	N _{3/2} +		
$(56, 0^+_2)$	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=1		$\Delta_{3/2^+}(1600)$		
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=1	$N_{1/2}^{+}(1440)$			
$(70, 0^+_2)$	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=1	$\Delta_{1/2^+}(1750)$	does not exist		
	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=1		N _{3/2} +		
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=1	$N_{1/2}^{+}(1710)$	4^{th} shell		
$(70, 1_1^-)$	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=1;$ N=0	$\Delta_{1/2^{-}}(1620)$	$\Delta_{3/2}^{-}(1700)$		
$1^{ m st}$	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=1;$ N=0	$N_{1/2}^{-}(1650)$	$N_{3/2}^{-}(1700)$	$\rm N_{5/2^{-}}(1675)$	
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=1;$ N=0	$N_{1/2}^{-}(1535)$	$N_{3/2}^{-}(1520)$		
0^{th} (56, 0^+_0)	$\mathrm{S}=3/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=0		$\Delta_{3/2^+}^{}(1232)$		
	$\mathrm{S}=1/2;\mathrm{L}=0;$ N=0	$N_{1/2+}(939)$			

Some multiplets are fully, others completely empty.

Why?

• There is a spin-doublet $\mathsf{N}_{1/2^-}(1880),\mathsf{N}_{3/2^-}(1890).$

```
There is no close-by {\sf N}_{5/2^-}
```

There is no close-by spin triplet.

There is
$$\Delta_{1/2^-}(1900)$$
, $\Delta_{3/2^-}(1940)$, $\Delta_{5/2^-}(1930)$
SU(6): $56 = {}^410 + {}^28$

A symmetric spin-flavor wave function with ${
m L}=1$ excludes ${
m N}=0.$

These resonances are radial excitations belonging to the third shell

• There are for negative-parity resonances

$$N_{3/2^-}(2130), N_{5/2^-}(2075), N_{7/2^-}(2185), N_{9/2^-}(2250).$$

These may form a spin quartet

There is no evidence for a (N $_{3/2^-}$, N $_{5/2^-}$) doublet

There is a $\Delta_{7/2^-}(2200)$; likely, $\Delta_{5/2^-}$ is missing (GWU: 2223 MeV). SU(6): $70 = {}^210 + {}^48 + {}^28 + {}^21$

These resonances can be assigned to the third shell L=3

3^{rd}	$\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{P}} =$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	$rac{3}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{5}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{7}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{9}{2}^{-}$
$({\bf 56},{\bf 1_3^-})$ S =	$\mathbf{z} \mathbf{3/2}; \mathbf{L} = 1;$ N=1	$\Delta_{1/2^{-}}(1900)$	$\Delta_{3/2^{-}}(1940)$	$\Delta_{5/2^{-}}(1930)$		
S =	= $1/2; \mathbf{L} = 1;$ N=1	$N_{1/2}^{-}(1880)$	$N_{3/2}^{-}(1870)$			
$(70, 3^3)$ S =	= $1/2; \mathbf{L}=3;$ N=0			$\Delta_{5/2}^{-}(2223)$	$\Delta_{7/2}^{-}(2200)$	
S =	$\mathbf{z} \mathbf{3/2}; \mathbf{L} = 3;$ N=0		$N_{3/2}^{-}(2170)$	N (2070)	N (2190)	$N_{9/2}^{-}(2250)$
S =	$\mathbf{1/2}; \mathbf{L} = 3;$ N=0			1 5/2 ⁻ (2 010)	7/2-(2100)	
		$(56, \mathbf{3_3^-}), \ (20, 3)$	$(\mathbf{3_3^-}), (70, \mathbf{2_3^-}), (70, \mathbf{2_3^-}), (70, \mathbf{2_3^-})$	70 , $\mathbf{1_3^-}$), (70 , $\mathbf{1_3^-}$), $(20, \mathbf{1_3^-})$:	
			Many states predicte	ed, no candidates know	wn	

There are two multiplets completely filled, six multiplets completely empty. Why?

- Minimal and maximal moments of inertia ?
- Consequence of string dynamics ?

3.4 dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles $\sigma; \Lambda(1405); \cdots$ $\rho; \Delta(1232); \cdots$

• Meson-meson interactions:

Meson resonances can be interpreted as

qar q	q ar q q ar q	q ar q g	gg	m_1m_2
mesons	tetraquarks	hybrids	glueballs	molecules

• Meson-baryon interactions:

Baryon resonances can be interpreted as

qqq	$qqqqar{q}$	qqqg	b_1m_2
baryons	pentaquarks	hybrids	molecules

• Are all these Fock components realized and mix? Even the quark model predicts too many baryon states!

Two examples:



- $q\bar{q}$ meson resonances fall onto Regge trajectories
- $q\bar{q}g$ hybrids are predicted

A third example: scalar mesons

Jaffe: Four quarks in S-wave, pairs of quarks in color $\overline{3}$ (antiquarks in 3), energetically favored over $q\overline{q}$ with orbital excitation.



9 $qar{q}$, 9 $qar{q}qar{q}qar{q}$ states \Rightarrow SU(4)

Including *c* quarks:

There are 36 tetraquark states with quark pairs in color $\overline{3}$, 16 of them have a $q\overline{q}$ component, 20 are flavor exotics. There is a subset of 10 tetraquark states with open charm having a symmetry where the two quarks can form a $\overline{3}$ and two antiquarks a 3,

6 of them have a $q\bar{q}$ component. 4 of them are flavor exotics.

Flavor exotics have never been observed. So even if the dominant configuration has a four-quark nature, the $q\bar{q}$ component is essential to form a bound or resonant state!

Conclusion:

The Fock expansion is realized in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(Q)\rangle &= \alpha_1 |qqq(Q)\rangle + \alpha_2 |qqqq\bar{q}(Q)\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_3 |qqqg(Q)\rangle + \alpha_4 |b_1m_2(Q)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and there are no additional states beyond the quark model (perhaps exotics, perhaps chemistry of heavy-light systems)

This does not exclude that mesons like $a_0(980)$ have a large or even dominant $K\bar{K}$ configuration but the raison d'etre is their $q\bar{q}$ component.

Hadron Spectroscopy: results and ideas

- 1. Why baryon spectroscopy
- 2. New results and PDG related issues
- 3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

Parity doublets and chiral symmetry

AdS/QCD

 $SU(6)\otimes O(3)$

Dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles

4. New results from ELSA

5. Summary

3. New Results from ELSA

ELSA



A step forward towards a complete experiment

CBELSA/TAPS: Double pol. exp. $ec{\gamma}ec{p} o p\pi^0$ (A. Thiel, Bonn)

Linearly polarized photons, longitudinally polarized target

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}(\Phi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega_0} \cdot \left(1 - \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{lin}} \Sigma \cos(2\phi) + \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{lin}} \mathrm{P}_{z} \mathrm{G} \sin(2\phi)\right)$$



CBELSA/TAPS: Double pol. exp. $ec{\gamma}ec{p} o p\pi^0$ (A. Thiel, Bonn)

Linearly polarized photons, longitudinally polarized target: G

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}(\Phi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega_0} \cdot \left(1 - \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{lin}} \Sigma \cos(2\phi) + \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{lin}} \mathrm{P_z} \mathrm{G} \sin(2\phi)\right)$



CBELSA/TAPS: Double pol. exp. $ec{\gamma}ec{p} o p\pi^0$ (M.Gottschall)

Circularly polarized photons, longitudinally polarized target: E







CBELSA/TAPS: Double pol. exp. $\ ec{\gamma}ec{p} o p\pi^0$ (J. Hartmann)



Linear photon polarization, transversally polarized target: T

 \Rightarrow Measurement of the recoil polarization: P



CBELSA/TAPS: Double pol. exp. $\ ec{\gamma}ec{p} o p\eta$ (J. Hartmann, Bonn)

Linear photon polarization, transversally polarized target: T



Hadron Spectroscopy: results and ideas

- 1. Why baryon spectroscopy
- 2. New results and PDG related issues
- 3. Baryon resonance spectrum: interpretation

Parity doublets and chiral symmetry AdS/QCD

 $SU(6)\otimes O(3)$

Dynamically generated resonances versus C.D.D. poles

4. New results from ELSA

5. Summary

5. Summary

- Photoproduction experiments begin to make a significant impact on the spectrum of baryon resonances
- New data are being analyzed at Bonn, Jlab, Mainz
- Excellent prospects for the future
- The problem of missing resonances persists
- The dynamical reason why resonances are missing is unresolved: Chiral symmetry restoration ? Are complete multiplets missing ? Constituent quarks or strings ?