"Once upon a time there was a Good Software Engineer whose Customers knew exactly what they wanted.

The Good Software Engineer worked very hard to design the Perfect System that would solve all the Customers' problems now and for decades.

When the Perfect System was designed, implemented and finally deployed, the Customers were very happy indeed.

The Maintainer of the System had very little to do to keep the Perfect System up and running, and the Customers and the Maintainer lived happily every after."

We are all aware that this is a fairy tale...

Could it be because there are no Good Software Engineers? Could it be because the Users don't really know what they want? Or is it because the Perfect System doesn't exist?

Lehman laws

M. M. Lehman, **Programs, Life Cycles, and Laws of Software Evolution,** *Proc. IEEE,* vol. 68, no. 9, Sep. 1980

1. Continuing Change

A program that is used and that as an implementation of its specification reflects some other reality, undergoes continual change or becomes progressively less useful. The change or decay process continues until it is judged more cost effective to replace the system with a recreated version.

2. Increasing Complexity

- As an evolving program is continually changed, **its complexity**, *reflecting deteriorating structure*, **increases** unless work is done to maintain or reduce it.



Refactoring

Maria Grazia Pia *INFN Genova, Italy* Maria.Grazia.Pia@cern.ch

http://www.ge.infn.it/geant4/training/APC2017/



...is a disciplined technique for **improving the design of an existing code**

In the ideal world

there would be hardly any need for refactoring

In the real world of HEP (and related fields) most software needs to be refactored

By learning refactoring

you also learn writing code that minimizes the need to be refactored

"If it ain't broken, don't fix it"

conventional wisdom

A piece of software can be broken in many ways

Functional

it no longer delivers the function it is designed to perform

Maintenance

it can no longer be maintained

- Obsolete or no documentation
- Missing tests
- Original **developers** or users have left
- Inside knowledge about the system has disappeared
- Limited understanding of the entire system
- Too long to turn things over to production
- Too much time to make simple changes
- Need for constant bug fixes
- Big build times
- Difficulties separating products
- Duplicated code
- Code smells

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Warnings you are heading into trouble

usually do not occur isolated

S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns

Geant4 photoelectric cross section

Is it consistent with experimental measurements?

A simple test:

- instantiate a G4PEEffectFluoModel
- invoke

ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom in predefined configurations of photon energy and target element

 compare calculated cross sections with experimental data in the same E, Z, A configurations



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

<pre>#ifndef G4PEEffectFluoModel_h #define G4PEEffectFluoModel_h 1</pre>	
<pre>#include "G4VEmModel.hh" G4PEEffectFluo #include <vector></vector></pre>	Model
<pre>class G4ParticleChangeForGamma; class G4VAtomDeexcitation;</pre>	class
<pre>class <u>G4PEEffectFluoModel</u> : public <u>G4VEmModel</u> {</pre>	
public:	
<u>G4PEEffectFluoModel(const G4String& nam = "PhotoElect</u>	ric");
<pre>virtual <u>~G4PEEffectFluoModel();</u></pre>	
<pre>virtual void Initialise(const G4ParticleDefinition*, const G4)</pre>	<pre>DataVector&);</pre>
virtual <u>G4double</u> <u>ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom(const G4ParticleDe</u> <u>G4double</u> kinEnergy, <u>G4double</u> Z, <u>G4double</u> A, <u>G4double</u> , <u>G4double</u>);	efinition,
<pre>virtual <u>G4double CrossSectionPerVolume(const G4Materia</u> const <u>G4ParticleDefinition</u>*, <u>G4double</u> kineticEnergy, <u>G4double</u> cutEnergy, <u>G4double</u> maxEnergy);</pre>	<u>al</u> *,
<pre>virtual void SampleSecondaries(std::vector<g4dynamicparticle*,< th=""><th><u>article</u>*>*,</th></g4dynamicparticle*,<></pre>	<u>article</u> *>*,



Post-RD44 electromagnetic design

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Hidden dependencies



Photoionisation cross section

Cross sections in Geant4 "standard" photoelectric model are based on "improved" Biggs-Lighthill parameterisation

F. Biggs and R. Lighthill, Analytical Approximation for X-ray Cross Sections III, Sandia Lab. Report SAND-0070, 1988



"With rapid development tools and rapid turnover in personnel, software systems can turn into legacies more quickly than you might imagine."

S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, **Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns**

software evolution

Methods and techniques

- to deal with software evolution
- to manage complexity
- to work with legacy code

in a disciplined and effective way





lacy

software









- 1. a gift by will especially of money or other personal property
- 2. something transmitted by or received from an ancestor or predecessor or from the past

"A legacy is something *valuable* that you have *inherited.*" S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns

evolution



- 1. one of a set of prescribed movements
- 2. a process of change in a certain direction
- 3. the process of working out or developing
- 4. the historical development of a biological group
- 5. the extraction of a mathematical root
- 6. a process in which the whole universe is a progression of interrelated phenomena

"The code slowly sinks from engineering to hacking." M. Fowler, **Refactoring**

Software maintenance

"The modification of a software product after delivery to **correct faults**,

to **improve** performance or other attributes, or to **adapt** the product to a modified environment."

IEEE Standard 1219

- Accommodate changes in the software environment
- Incorporate new user requirements
- Fix errors
- Prevent future problems

OO techniques promise better	 flexibility,
	reusability,
	maintainability
but they do not come for free!	•

Reengineering



S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns

Evolution of legacy systems



Take a loan on your software

 \Rightarrow pay back via reengineering

Investment for the future

⇒ paid back during maintenance

S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, **Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns**

Does it pay back?







Series of **pilot projects** going on since 2008: refactoring, reengineering, evolution

State-of-the-art, quantified simulation

Archival literature

http://www.ge.infn.it/geant4/papers

Steffen Hauf Refactoring Geant4 Radioactive Decay PhD Thesis

Well defined **responsibilities** and **interactions**



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova



Motivations

- Gain understanding of the code
- Assess its capabilities and accuracy
- Improve physics performance
- Improve computational performance





Experiment: Z. W. Bell (ORNL)



Refactoring Geant4 physics data management

- Today's technology
 - ...keeping an eye on the new C++ Standard
- 660 Optimal container 640 620 Pruning data 600 original code 580 Splitting files 560 540 lime(ms) Software design 520 500 refactored 480 460 440 420 Min Cheol Han 400 Hanyang Univ., Seoul, Korea reengineered 380 360 Undergraduate student project n. 20 40 60 80 100

Count of ActiveZ

Outline

to deal with Problems Software technology evolving/legacy Methods software Techniques **Overview** Focus on basic concepts Guidance for further personal study no time to enter into details in REFACTORING this lecture MPROVING THE DESIGN OF EXISTING COD WORKING

Peculiarities of refactoring physics software

Hands-on practice

Common problems of legacy code

Insufficient documentation

- non-existent or out-of-date
- Improper layering
 - too few or too many layers
- Lack of modularity
 - strong coupling
- Duplicated code
 - copy & paste code
- Duplicated functionality
 - similar functionality by separate teams

- **Misuse** of inheritance
 - code reuse vs. polymorphism
- Missing inheritance
 - duplication, case-statements
- Misplaced operations
 - operations outside classes
- Violation of encapsulation
 - type-casting; C++ "friends"
- Class abuse
 - classes as namespaces

S. Demeyer, S. Ducasse, O. Nierstrasz, **Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns**



Refactoring

"**Refactoring** is the process of *changing* a software system in such a way that it does not alter the *external behavior* of the code yet improves its internal structure."

"When you refactor you are improving the design of the code after it has been written."

OBJECT-ORIENTED REENGINEERING PATTERNS

Serge Demoyer Stéphane Ducasse Oscar Nierstrasz



Reengineering

Reengineering "seeks to transform a legacy system into the system you would have built if you had the luxury of hindsight and could have known all the new requirements that you know today."

"**Reengineering** [...] is the examination and alteration of a subject system to reconstitute it in a new form and the subsequent implementation of the new form."

"Reverse Engineering is the process of analyzing a subject system

- to identify the system's components and their interrelationships and
- create representations of the system in another form or at a higher level of abstraction."

"**Forward Engineering** is the traditional process of moving from highlevel abstractions and logical, implementation-independent designs to the physical implementation of a system."

E.J. Chikofsky, J.H. Cross, Reverse engineering and design recovery: a taxonomy, IEEE Software, vol. 7, no.1, pp. 13-17, 1990.

Basic concepts

Refactoring

Reengineering

- What they are
- Why to refactor (reengineer)
- When to refactor
- What NOT to refactor
- When NOT to refactor

Interplay with other processes: testing

Do not refactor what does not pass the tests Do not refactor immediately before a critical deadline

Why?

To make software easier to understand and modify

When?

- Refactor when you want to add functionality
 - before adding it
- Refactor when you have to fix a bug
- Refactor while doing a code review (!)
- Refactor when you want to gain understanding of some legacy code
- ...

Refactoring embedded in an iterative-incremental life-cycle

Set priorities while refactoring

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

The new code may be more "elegant" but it has bugs / is slower

Instead of just fixing a bug, you refactor the core code and screw up everything

When replacing old code that has been working fine for a long time, one risks reintroducing old problems that were fixed by some of the "ugly" (undocumented) code

Nobody remembers all of the requirements, but break a single one by refactoring, and you can be in deep trouble

Refactoring increases the amount of verification testing that has to be done: when you refactor a class, you need to retest everything that deals with it (and side effects too) **Planning**

Refactoring is an excuse for lazy programmers

Refactor your own code Refactor your colleague's code

Testing

Reengineering

What to refactor



How does one identify code that needs to be refactored?

Identifying code to be refactored Code Smells If it stinks, change it.

Grandma Beck, discussing child-rearing philosophy

M. Fowler, K. Beck et al., **Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code**

A code smell is a surface indication that usually corresponds to a deeper problem in the system

Smells are heuristics that help in deciding:

- When to refactor
- What to refactor
- How to refactor

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Quick to spot

Don't always indicate a problem



Code smells

- Duplicated code
- Long method
- Large class
- Long parameter list
- Divergent change
- Shotgun surgery
- Feature envy
- Data clumps
- Switch statement
- Parallel inheritance hierarchies
- Lazy class

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

- Speculative generality
- Temporary field
- Comments
- Refused bequest
- Primitive obsession
- Message chains
- Middle man
- Inappropriate intimacy
- Alternative classes with different interfaces
- Incomplete library class
- Data class

M. Fowler, K. Beck et al.,

Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code

Common code smells

Duplicated Code

In the stink parade

- if you modify one instance of duplicated code but not the others, you may introduce a bug!

Large class

- tries to do too much

Long Method

- difficult to understand and maintain
- Martin's Rule of Performance: Assume costs of lots of short functions are negligible and wait to be proven wrong!

Long Parameter List

- hard to understand, can become inconsistent

Code smells: dispensable

Data Class

- A data holder: a class that has attributes, getting and setting methods for the fields, and nothing else
- Objects should be about data and behavior

Speculative Generality

- "I may need the ability to do this kind of thing someday"

Lazy Class

- A class that no longer "pays its way" e.g. a class that was downsized by refactoring, or represented planned functionality that did not materialize

Dead Code

- Code that is not used

Code smells: OO abusers

Refused Bequest

A subclass ignores most of the functionality provided by its superclass

Switch Statements

- Can be replaced by use of polymorphism

Temporary Field

- An attribute of an object is only set in certain circumstances
 - but an object should need all of its attributes
- or fields used to hold intermediate results

Alternative Classes with Different Interfaces

- Two or more methods do the same thing but have different signature for what they do

Code smells: coupling

Middle Man

- A class delegates most of its responsibilities to another class
- *Does it really have a reason to exist?*

Message Chains

- A client asks an object for another object, then asks that object for another object etc.

Feature Envy

- A method requires lots of information from some other object

Inappropriate Intimacy

- Classes that know too much about each other's private details

Code smells: hindering change

Divergent Change

 Lack of cohesion: one type of change requires changing one subset of methods; another type of change requires changing another subset

Shotgun Surgery

 A change requires lots of little changes in a lot of different objects

Parallel Inheritance Hierarchies

- Similar to Shotgun Surgery; each time I add a subclass to one hierarchy, I need to do it for all related hierarchies

...and more

Data Clumps

- Attributes that are used together, but are not part of the same object

Primitive Obsession

- A reluctance to use classes instead of primitive data types

Magic Number

- A literal value that appears in a program

Combinatorial Explosion

- Lots of code that does *almost* the same thing
How to refactor

Methods Techniques

Reverse engineering

Not limited to deriving a UML class diagram form the code... Motivation: understanding other people's code



Refactoring is not meant to alter the behaviour of the code

To be tested!

Refactoring begins by designing a **solid set of tests** for the portion of code under analysis

Usually unit tests

Refactoring occurs as a series of small changes





Maria Graz

Geant4 version

Deviation of 45 GeV muons in L3



geant4-10-00-ref-03, All MSC models, ARealisticRun, Gaussian fits



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Physics-Related Epistemic Uncertainties in Proton Depth Dose Simulation

Maria Grazia Pia, Marcia Begalli, Anton Lechner, Lina Quintieri, and Paolo Saracco



Hadronic physics models

р

E_{deposited}



Maria Grazia Pia, *INFN Genova*

Sweeping under the carpet?

Refactoring aims to preserve correctness

Was the original code verified?

Was the original code validated?



IEEE Standard 1012 Software Verification & Validation ISO 12207

What was the test coverage?

Were the test process and the test results documented?

Risk mitigation

Do not mix!

One of the motivations for refactoring may be the need to introduce new features in the code

Refactoring

Does not modify the code behaviour



Adding new features

Modifying the code behaviour

- Test
- Refactor
- Test
- Add new feature
- Test
- Add new feature
- Test



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Basic actions for common smells

Method invocation

- Consolidate recurring code into a single method
- OK when the recurring code doesn't span methods and all methods containing code belong to the same class

Inheritance

- Common code in two different classes
- New superclass introduced





Extract commonality

Introduce abstract class

a class with no or partial implementation

Abstract interfaces are classes with no implementation Abstract classes represent mixed design and implementation

class Common {
 void commonCode(...) {...}
 virtual void contextSpecificCode () = 0;
 ...
};

Add delegation

Delegate the recurring code segments to a helper class

class Extension : public Common {
 void method1(...) {
 ...
 helper.SomeMoreCommonCode();
 }
...
};

...sounds like common sense?

Many refactoring techniques are just good practices of code hygiene

Apply them when writing new code!

The "legacy code" you may have to refactor in a few months/years may **be your own**... A colleague collaborating at your project may have to refactor your "legacy code"...

Refactoring Techniques

Composing Methods

Extract Method, Inline Method,...

Moving Features Between Objects Move Method, Move Field, Hide Delegate, ...

Organizing Data

Replace Data Value with Object, ...

Simplifying Conditional Expressions

Decompose Conditionals, ...

http://www.refactoring.com/catalog/index.html









in the stink parade

- Same expression in two methods of the **same class**
 - Use **Extract Method** refactoring
- Same expression in two methods of sibling classes
 - Use Extract Method and Pull Up Method
- If code is similar, but not same
 - Consider Form Template Method
- Duplicated code in unrelated classes
 - May need to **Extract Class**
 - Or eliminate one of the versions

G4WentzelVIModel.cc

G4WentzelVIRelModel.cc

	20	
G4double G4WentzelVIModel::ComputeGeomPathLength(G4double truelength)	$\xrightarrow{23}$ G4	<pre>4double G4WentzelVIRelModel::ComputeGeomPathLength(G4double truelength)</pre>
<pre>{ tPathLength = truelength; zPathLength = tPathLength;</pre>	{	tPathLength = truelength; zPathLength = tPathLength;
<pre>if(lambdaeff > 0.0 && lambdaeff != DBL_MAX) { G4double tau = tPathLength/lambdaeff; //G4cout << "ComputeGeomPathLength: tLength= " << tPathLength // << " Leff= " << lambdaeff << " tau= " << tau << G4endl; // small step if(tau < numlimit) { zPathLength *= (1.0 - 0.5*tau + tau*tau/6.0); } }</pre>		<pre>if(lambdaeff > 0.0 && lambdaeff != DBL_MAX) { G4double tau = tPathLength/lambdaeff; //G4cout << "ComputeGeomPathLength: tLength= " << tPathLength // << " Leff= " << lambdaeff << " tau= " << tau << G4endl; // small step if(tau < numlimit) { zPathLength *= (1.0 - 0.5*tau + tau*tau/6.0); } }</pre>
<pre>// medium step } else { G4double e1 = 0.0; if(currentRange > tPathLength) { e1 = GetEnergy(particle,currentRange-tPathLength,currentCouple); } e1 = 0.5*(e1 + preKinEnergy); cosTetMaxNuc = wokvi->SetupKinematic(e1, currentMaterial); lambdaeff = GetTransportMeanFreePath(particle,e1); zPathLength = lambdaeff*(1.0 - G4Exp(-tPathLength/lambdaeff)); } } else { lambdaeff = DBL_MAX; } //G4cout<<"Comp.geom: zLength= "<<zpathlength= "<<tpathlength="<<tPathLength; }</pre></td><td>}</td><td><pre>// medium step } else { G4double e1 = 0.0; if(currentRange > tPathLength) { e1 = GetEnergy(particle,currentRange-tPathLength,currentCouple); } e1 = 0.5*(e1 + preKinEnergy); cosTetMaxNuc = wokvi->SetupKinematic(e1, currentMaterial); lambdaeff = GetTransportMeanFreePath(particle,e1); zPathLength = lambdaeff*(1.0 - G4Exp(-tPathLength/lambdaeff)); } else { lambdaeff = DBL_MAX; } //G4cout<<" "<<tpathlength;="" <="" <<tpathlength="" comp.geom:="" pre="" zlength="<<zPathLength= "></zpathlength=></pre>		

Identical member function!

G4PAIModel.cc

G4PAIPhotModel.cc

G4PAIModel.cc vs. G4PAIPhotModel.cc								
G4PAIModel.cc - /Users/pia/Documents/g4dev/g4_svn/geant4.10.00.p01/source/processes/electromagnetic/standard/src	G4PAIPhotModel.cc - /Users/pia/Documents/g4dev/g4_svn/geant4.10.00.p01/source/processes/electromagnetic/standard/src							
G4PAIModel::G4PAIModel()	G4PAIPhotModel::G4PAIPhotModel()							
<u>}</u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
<pre>void G4PAIModel::Initialise(const G4ParticleDefinition* p,</pre>	<pre>11 void G4PAIPhotModel::Initialise(const G4ParticleDefinition* p,</pre>							
const G4DataVector& cuts)	<pre>{ // if(fVerbose > 0) </pre>							
if(fVerbose > 0) { G4coutes"G4PATModel::Initialise_for "<<==>GetParticleName()<<=G4endl:	12 { G4coutes="G4PATPhotModel::Initialize for "scn=>GetParticleName()scG4end]:							
}	<pre>}</pre>							
<pre>if(isInitialised) { return; } isInitialised = true;</pre>	<pre>if(isInitialised) { return; } isInitialised = true;</pre>							
<pre>SetParticle(p); fParticleChange = GetParticleChangeForLoss();</pre>	<pre>SetParticle(p); fParticleChange = GetParticleChangeForLoss();</pre>							
<pre>if(IsMaster()) {</pre>	<pre>if(IsMaster()) {</pre>							
<pre>InitialiseElementSelectors(p, cuts);</pre>	<pre>InitialiseElementSelectors(p, cuts);</pre>							
if(!fModelData) {	if(!fModelData) {							
G4double tmin = LowEnergyLimit()*fRatio; G4double tmax = HighEnergyLimit()*fRatio;	G4double tmin = LowEnergyLimit()*fRatio; G4double tmax = HighEnergyLimit()*fRatio; 13G4double tmax = HighEnergyLimit()*fRatio;							
fModelData = new 64PAIModelData(tmin, tmax, fVerbose); }	<pre>1ModeLData = new G4PAIPhotData(tmin, tmax, tVeroose); }</pre>							
<pre>// Prepare initialization const G4MaterialTable* theMaterialTable = G4Material::GetMaterialTable(); size_t numOfMat = G4Material::GetNumberOfMaterials(); size_t numRegions = fPAIRegionVector.size();</pre>	<pre>// Prepare initialization const G4MaterialTable∗ theMaterialTable = G4Material::GetMaterialTable(); size_t numOfMat = G4Material::GetNumberOfMaterials(); size_t numRegions = fPAIRegionVector.size();</pre>							
<pre>for(size_t iReg = 0; iReg < numRegions; ++iReg) { const G4Region* curReg = fPAIRegionVector[iReg]; G4Region* reg = const_cast<g4region*>(curReg);</g4region*></pre>	<pre>for(size_t iReg = 0; iReg < numRegions; ++iReg) { const G4Region* curReg = fPAIRegionVector[iReg]; G4Region* reg = const_cast<g4region*>(curReg);</g4region*></pre>							
<pre>for(size_t jMat = 0; jMat < numOfMat; ++jMat) { G4Materialx mat = (*theMaterialTable)[jMat]; const G4MaterialCutsCouplex cutCouple = reg->FindCouple(mat); //G4cout << "Couple <" << fCutCouple << G4endl; if(cutCouple) { /*</pre>	<pre>for(size_t jMat = 0; jMat < numOfMat; ++jMat) { G4Material& mat = (*theMaterialTable)[jMat]; const G4MaterialCutsCouple * cutCouple = reg->FindCouple(mat); //G4cout << "Couple <" << fCutCouple << G4endl; if(cutCouple) { /*</pre>							
<pre>G4cout << "Neg <" <<curreg->GetName() << "> mat <"</curreg-></pre>	<pre>G4cout << "Reg <" <<curreg-setname() "="" <<=""> mat <"</curreg-setname()></pre>							
<pre>// check if this couple is not already initialized size_t n = fMaterialCutsCoupleVector.size(); if(@ < n) {</pre>	<pre>// check if this couple is not already initialized size_t n = fMaterialCutsCoupleVector.size(); if(0 < n) {</pre>							
<pre>for(size_t i=0; i<+Material(utsLoupleVector.size(); ++1) { if(cutCouple == fMaterialCutsCoupleVector[i]) { break; } }</pre>	<pre>for(size_t i=0; i<tmaterialcutscouplevector.size(); ++1)="" break;="" if(cutcouple="fMaterialCutsCoupleVector[i])" pre="" {="" }="" }<=""></tmaterialcutscouplevector.size();></pre>							
} } // initialise data banks fMaterialCutsCoupleVector.push_back(cutCouple); G4double deltaCutInKinEnergy = cuts[cutCouple->GetIndex()]; fModeLData->Initialise(cutCouple, deltaCutInKinEnergy, this);	<pre>} } // initialise data banks fMaterialCutsCouplevector.push_back(cutCouple); G4double deltaCutInKinEnergy = cuts[cutCouple~>GetIndex()]; fModeLData~>Initialise(cutCouple, deltaCutInKinEnergy, this);</pre>							
	}							
Idontical but the instanti	ation of a "model date" abject							
status: 44 differences IGEIIIICAI DUL LITE IIISLAIILI	ation of a model data object -							

How to find duplicated code?

- Automated tools
 - Some exist
- By hand
 - Still the most common way
 - Not necessarily the most efficient (the most inefficient)

Reverse engineering

- Gain understanding of the code

G4KleinNishinaCompton.cc - /Users/pia/Documents/g4dev/g4_svn/geant4.10.00.p01/source/processes/electromagnetic/standard/src

G4KleinNishinaCompton::G4KleinNishinaCompton()

G4KleinNishinaCompton.cc

G4KleinNishinaModel.cc - /Users/pia/Documents/g4dev/g4_svn/geant4.10.00.p01/source/pro

G4KleinNishinaModel::G4KleinNishinaModel()

G4KleinNishinaModel.cc

//	1	٠ ا
G4double G4KleinNishinaCompton::ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom(//000000000000000000000
const G4ParticleDefinition*,		
G4double GammaEnergy,	17	G4double
G4double Z, G4double,		G4KleinNishinaModel::ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom(const G4ParticleDefinition*,
G4double, G4double)		G4double GammaEnergy,
64 double xSection = 0.0 :		G4double, G4double)
if (Z < 0.9999) return xSection;		{
if (GammaEnergy < 0.1*keV) return xSection;	18	G4double xSection = 0.0 ;
// if (GammaEnergy > (100.*GeV/Z)) return xSection;		if (Z < 0.9999 GammaEnergy < 0.1*keV) { return xSection; }
(1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,		a_{1}^{1}
Static const 64double $a = 20.0$, $b = 230.0$, $c = 440.0$;	19	static const 64000ble $a = 20.0$, $b = 230.0$, $c = 440.0$;
G4double p1Z = Z*(d1 + e1*Z + f1*Z*Z), p2Z = Z*(d2 + e2*Z + f2*Z*Z).	-	G4double p1Z = $Z*(d1 + e1*Z + f1*Z*Z)$, p2Z = $Z*(d2 + e2*Z + f2*Z*Z)$.
p3Z = Z*(d3 + e3*Z + f3*Z*Z), p4Z = Z*(d4 + e4*Z + f4*Z*Z);		p3Z = Z*(d3 + e3*Z + f3*Z*Z), p4Z = Z*(d4 + e4*Z + f4*Z*Z);
G4double 10 = 15.0*keV;	20	G4double I0 = 15.0 * keV;
(2 < 1.5) 0 = 40.0 keV;	>	11 (2 < 1.5) (10 = 40.0 * KeV;)
G4double X = max(GammaEnergy, T0) / electron mass c2:		G4double X = max(GammaEnergy, T0) / electron mass c2:
xSection = p12*64Log(1.+2.*X)/X		xSection = $p1Z*64Log(1.+2.*X)/X$
+ (p2Z + p3Z*X + p4Z*X*X)/(1. + a*X + b*X*X + c*X*X*X);		+ (p2Z + p3Z*X + p4Z*X*X)/(1. + a*X + b*X*X + c*X*X*X);
(/ modification for low energy (annull and for Hydrogen)		(/ modification for low energy (energial error for Undergan)
// modification for tow energy. (special case for hydrogen)		if (GammaEpergy < T0) {
G4double dT0 = 1.*keV:	21	G4double dT0 = keV:
X = (T0+dT0) / electron mass c2 :	-	X = (T0+dT0) / electron mass c2 :
G4double sigma = p1Z*G4Log(1.+2*X)/X		G4double sigma = $p1Z*G4Log(1.+2*X)/X$
+ (p2Z + p3Z*X + p4Z*X*X)/(1. + a*X + b*X*X + c*X*X*X);		+ (p2Z + p3Z*X + p4Z*X*X)/(1. + a*X + b*X*X + c*X*X*X);
G4double c1 = -T0*(sigma-xSection)/(xSection*dT0);		G4double c1 = -T0*(sigma-xSection)/(xSection*dT0);
$\begin{array}{c} 6400 \text{ ble } C2 = 0.150;\\ 160 \text{ cm} = 0.275, 0.0756, 0.0556, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.016, 0.$	22	G4000010 = C2 = 0.150;
11 (2 > 1.5) (2 = 0.5) - 0.050504L0g(2); G(double w = G(1 o g(Game For (n/T0));	>	$[1 (2 > 1.5) \{ (2 = 0.3/5 - 0.0550 * 64L0g(2); \}$
x ($x = (4Ex)(-x)(-(1+C)x)$);		x Section $x = (4Exp(-yx)(c1+c2xy));$
}		}
// G4cout << "e= " << GammaEnergy << " Z= " << Z << " cross= " << xSection << G4endl;	23	
return xSection;		if(xSection < 0.0) { xSection = 0.0; }
		// G4cout << "e= " << GammaEnergy << " Z= " << Z
// 2000/00/000 000/00/000 000/00/000 000/00/		// << CTOSS= << XSection:
		}
		1.

÷

Beware:

Sometimes automated tools don't catch code duplication effectively

Code reviews!

status: 48 differences

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Long method

The longer a method is, the more difficult it is to understand

- Decomposing methods
- Most of the time: just Extract Method
 - What to extract?
 - Understand what the code does
 - Comments in the code may help

Large class

- A class that tries to do too much
- Often has too many instance variables

G4VEnergyLossProcess

- Prone to duplicated code
- Extract Class
- Extract SubClass
- Extract Interface

		in the second se	With the second se					Here are a series of the serie	T - Marganian 1997 - Margania		Normality of the second	The second secon
			G40	nipsi	Neuli	OUE	asuc	V2 .C	C, Z I			
And the second s	And the second s	And the second s		The second secon	Received and the second	Level of the second sec	The second secon	22	The second secon		A second se	26
Maria di Antonio di An	A second se	The second secon	A second	and the second s			34	35	1000 million (1000 million) 1000 million (10		38	in the second se
The second seco		42	43	Att	entre	Anter and a second seco	State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State	References	Reality of the second s	-Benning and a second s	51	



One class does work that should be done by two

Create a new class and move the relevant fields and methods from the old class into the new class



hands-on exercise

Maria Grazia Pia, *INFN Genova*

Extract interface

Several clients use the same subset of a class's interface, or two classes have part of their interfaces in common

Extract the subset into an abstract interface



Maria Grazia Pia,

Pull up method

Methods with identical results on subclasses





Push down method

Behavior in a superclass is relevant only for some of its subclasses

Move it to those subclasses



Replace inheritance with delegation

A subclass uses only part of a superclass interface or does not want to inherit data

Create a field for the superclass, adjust methods to delegate to the superclass, and remove the subclassing



Refactoring mechanics

Catalog of refactoring techniques

Reference material in Fowler's book and web site

Not meant to be learned by heart, but to be exercised when a pertinent "smell" is identified

Composing Methods

- Extract Method turns a code fragment into a function
- Inline Method is the opposite of Extract Method
- Inline Temp gets rid of a temporary variable by moving the expression to where the temp is used
- Replace Temp with Query removes a temporary variable and instead uses a function call where the temp was used
- Introduce Explaining Variable replaces comments and complex expressions with a temp variable that is well named
- Split Temporary Variable splits a temp that is used for two different things into two different variables
- Remove Assignments to Parameters removes assignments to function parameters within the function
- Replace Method with Method Object moves a complex function to its own class
- Substitute Algorithm

Moving Features Between Objects

- Move Method moves a method from one class to a more appropriate class
- Move Field moves a field (member object) from one class to another class
- Extract Class pulls a set of methods and fields from one class into a new class
- Inline Class opposite of Extract Class
- Hide Delegate: a class that provides access to an object of another class instead provides the methods of that class by delegation
- **Remove Middle Man** opposite of Hide Delegate
- Introduce Foreign Method adds a method to an untouchable class by passing an instance of the untouchable class into the method
- Introduce Local Extension adds methods to an untouchable class by deriving from it or by wrapping it

Organizing Data

- Self Encapsulate Field creates accessors for private member objects
- Replace Data Value with Object turns a member object into a fullfledged class
- Change Value to Reference
- Change Reference to Value
- Replace Array with Object converts an array which has various fields in each entry into an object
- Duplicate Observed Data introduces a Document/View architecture into an interactive application
- Change Unidirectional Association to Bidirectional introduces a back pointer
- Change Bidirectional Association to Unidirectional is the opposite
- Replace Magic Number with Symbolic Constant

Organizing Data

- Encapsulate Field adds getters and setters
- Encapsulate Collection hides a collection within a class
- Replace Record with Data Class makes a dumb data class to represent a record structure
- Replace Type Code with Class replaces an enumeration type with a class that has a set of global instances of itself, one for each possible value
- Replace Type Code with Subclasses introduces a polymorphic hierarchy to replace an enumeration
- **Replace Type Code with State/Strategy** allows change at runtime
- Replace Subclass with Fields is used when the subclasses no longer serve any real purpose

Simplifying Conditional Expressions

- Decompose Conditional extracts the condition, the "then" part, and the "else" part into functions
- Consolidate Conditional Expression combines a series of "if" into one
- Consolidate Duplicate Conditional Fragments factors out code that is common to a "then" part and an "else" part
- Remove Control Flag replaces flags that trigger exits with return, continue, and break
- Replace Nested Conditional with Guard Clauses replaces nested "if" with returns
- Replace Conditional with Polymorphism replaces case statements with polymorphism
- Introduce Null Object replaces checks for null values with an object
- Introduce Assertion uses assertions to describe a function's preconditions

Making Method Calls Simpler

- Rename Method
- Add Parameter to a function
- Remove Parameter from a function
- Separate Query from Modifier avoid side-effects
- Parametrize Method reduces a set of similar functions to a single function with a parameter to differentiate amongst the functions
- Replace Parameter with Explicit Methods
- Preserve Whole Object passes an object to a method instead of selected fields
- Replace Parameter with Method reduces a parameter list by using a value that is already available within the class
- Introduce Parameter Object groups parameters into a single object
- **Remove Setting Method** makes an attribute read-only
- **Hide Method** makes a method private
- Replace Constructor with Factory Method supports polymorphism
 Encapsulate Downcast hides a downcast within a method
- Replace Error Code with Exception separates error-handling from normal paths
- **Replace Exception with Test** provides a method for caller to avoid an exception

Dealing with Generalization

- Pull Up Field factors a common field into a superclass
- Pull Up Method factors a common method into a superclass
- **Push Down Method** moves a unique method down into a subclass
- Push Down Field moves a unique field down into a subclass
- Extract Subclass creates a subclass and moves features into it
- Extract Superclass factors common code into a superclass
- Extract Interface promotes decoupling and partitioning of a class's responsibilities by extracting an interface class
- Collapse Hierarchy combines a subclass and a superclass into one
- Form Template Method factors out common behavior into a superclass
- Replace Inheritance with Delegation Replace Delegation with Inheritance

Big Refactorings

- **Tease Apart Inheritance** deals with a messy inheritance hierarchy
- Convert Procedural Design to Objects
- Separate Domain from Presentation moves domain logic out of the UI classes
- Extract Hierarchy introduces polymorphism to replace complex conditional code

A Map of Reengineering Patterns

Tests: Your Life Insurance



Computational performance

Refactoring may make the code slower

conventional wisdom

Yes, sometimes...

"First do it, then do it right, then do it fast"

Refactoring **prepares the ground** for computational improvement by providing **clean code**

Refactoring and reengineering physics software

Food for thought...


Smells



Duplicated code Long method Large class Long parameter list Shotgun surgery Feature envy Data clumps Switch statement Parallel inheritance hierarchies Lazy class Speculative generality **Temporary field** Comments **Refused bequest** Primitive obsession Message chains Middle man Inappropriate intimacy

em utils::G4VEmPr em_utils::G4VEmModel flucModel :G4VEmFluctuationModel anglModel :G4VEmAngularDistribution name :G4String (readOnly) lowLimit :G4double highLimit :G4double eMinActive :G4double eMaxActive :G4double polarAngleLimit :G4double ondary Threshold :G4d eLPMflag :G4bool lagDeexcitation :G4boo lagEorceBuildTable 'G4boo localTable :G4bool ocalElmSelectors :G4bo readmonilerGenerator (G4br ors :G4int mSelectors :std::vector<G4Er ElementData :G4ElementData* ParticleChange :G4VParticleChange «SectionTable :G4PhysicsTable* heDensity Factor :std::vector<G4double>* (readOnly) (heDensityIdx :std::vector<G4int>* (readOnly) Wanager :G4LossTableManager CurrentCouple :G4MaterialCutsCouple* (readOnly) CurrentElement :G4Element* (readOnly) xsec :std::vector<G4double G4VEmModel() ~G4VEmModel/ Initialise() :void SampleSecondaries() : InitialiseLocal() :void InitialiseForMaterial() :void InitialiseForElement() :void ComputeDEDXPerVolume() :G-CrossSectionPerVolume() :G4double ComputeCrossSectionPerAtorn() G4do ChargeSquareRatio() :G4double GetChargeSquareRatio() :G4double GetParticleCharge() :G4doub StartTracking() :voi Value() :G4double MinPrimaryEnergy() :G4doub MinEnergyCut() :G4double -currentModel SetupForMaterial() :void DefineForRegion() :void linPrimaryEnergy() :G4d recarePhysicsTable() :v GetParticleChangeForLoss() :G4ParticleChangeForLoss* GetParticleChangeForGamma() :G4ParticleChangeForGamma BuildPhysicsTable() :void StartTracking() :void MaxSecondaryEnergy() :G4double InitialiseElementSelectors() :void GetElementSelectors() :std::v SetElementSelectors() :void stStepDolt() :G4VParticleChang rePhysicsTable() :G4bool ComputeDEDX() :G4double CrossSection() :G4double ComputeMeanFreePath() :G4double lauble ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom() :G4 SelectIsotopeNumber() :G4int SelectRandomAtom() :G4Elemen SelectRandomAtom() :G4Elemen SelectRandomAtomNumber() :G4in SetParticleChange() :void SetCrossSectionTable() :void GetElementData() :G4ElementData* GetCrossSectionTable() :G4PhysicsTable* GetModelOfFluctuations() :G4VEmFluctuationMor GetAngularDistribution() :G4VEmAngularDistribution() rvParticle() :G4Particle SetAngularDistribution() :void HighEnergyLimit():G4double {query} LowEnergyLimit():G4double {query} HighEnergyActivationLimit():G4double LowEnergy Activ ationLimit() :G4double (query) PolarAngleLimit() :G4double (query) Secondary Threshold() :G4double (query) LPMFlag() :G4bool (query) ent() :G4Element* (quer DeexcitationFlag() :G4bool (query) ForceBuildTableFlag() :G4bool (query) UseAngularGeneratorFlag() :G4bool (query) SetAngularGeneratorFlag() :void SetHighEnergyLimit() :void SetLowEnergyLimit() :void SetActivationHighEnergyLimit() :vo SetActivationLowEnergyLimit() :void IsActive() :G4bool SetPolarAngleLimit() :void Vector() :G4Ph hange() :G4ParticleChangeF SetSecondary Threshold() :void SetLPMFlag() :void SetDeexcitationFlag() :void SetForceBuildTable() :void SetMasterThread() :v oid IsMaster() :G4bool (query) MaxSecondary KinEnergy () :G4double GetName() :G4String& {query} SetCurrentCounle() 'v old GetCurrentElement() :G4Element* {query} CurrentCouple() :G4MaterialCutsCouple* {query} SetCurrentElement() :void perator=() :G4VEmModel & GetCurrentLambda() :G4d G4VEmProcess() operator=() :G4VEmProcess 8 G4PEEffectFluoModel theGamma 'G4ParticleDefinition theElectron :G4ParticleDefinition* fParticleChange :G4ParticleChangeForGamma fAtom Deexcitation :G4 VAtom Deexcitation* fminimalEnergy :G4double fSandiaCof :std::vector<G4double> G4PhotoElectricEffect G4PEEffectEluoModel() ~G4PEEffectEluoModel(isInitialised :G4bool Initialise() :void ComputeCrossSectionPerAtom() :G4double G4PhotoElectricEffect() ~G4PhotoElectricEffect CrossSectionPerVolume() :G4double IsApplicable() :G4bool SampleSecondaries() :void operator=() :G4PEEffectFluoModel & PrintInfo() :void InitialiseProcess() :void G4PEEffectEluoModel()

Evolution away from RD44 discipline



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Magic number

```
// G4HadronElastic
// Author : [...] 29 June 2009 (redesign old elastic model)
```

```
G4double dd = 10.;
G4Pow<sup>*</sup> g4pow = G4Pow::GetInstance();
if (A <= 62) {
bb = 14.5 * g4pow -> Z23(A);
aa = g4pow - powZ(A, 1.63)/bb;
cc = 1.4*g4pow->Z13(A)/dd;
} else {
bb = 60.*g4pow->Z13(A);
aa = g4pow - powZ(A, 1.33)/bb;
cc = 0.4*g4pow->powZ(A, 0.4)/dd;
}
G4double q1 = 1.0 - \text{std::exp}(-bb*tmax);
G4double q2 = 1.0 - std::exp(-dd*tmax);
G4double s1 = q1*aa;
G4double s2 = q2*cc;
```

Electromagnetic smells

Coupling

σ_{tot} and final state modeling have been decoupled in hadronic physics design since RD44

"model"

Total cross section

Whether a process occurs

Final state generation

How a process occurs

Dependencies

on other parts of the software

One needs a geometry (and a full scale application) to test (verify) a cross section

Difficult to test \rightarrow no testing

Problem domain analysis Improve domain decomposition

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova



Benefits Transparency Ease of maintenance Simplicity of testing for V&V

Basic physics V&V can be performed by means of lightweight unit tests

Exploring new physics models is made easier Quantification of accuracy is facilitated



Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Bremsstrahlung, evaporation, proton elastic scattering etc.

Trash and redo

Number one in the stink parade is duplicated code

- 1. Bearden & Burr (1967)
- 2. Carlson
- 3. EADL
- 4. Sevier
- 5. Tol 1978 (Shirley)
- 6. Tol 1996 (Larkins)
- 7. Williams

numbers Atomic binding energies





Source of epistemic uncertainties?

TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 58, NO. 6, DECEMBER 20

Evaluation of Atomic Electron Binding Energies for Monte Carlo Particle Transport 23 pages

Maria Grazia Pia, Hee Seo, Matej Batic, Marcia Begalli, Chan Hyeong Kim, Lina Quintieri, and Paolo Saracco



An example of reengineering: Photon elastic scattering simulation

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 59, NO. 4, AUGUST 2012

State of the art

Photon Elastic Scattering Simulation: Validation and Improvements to Geant4

Matej Batič, Gabriela Hoff, Maria Grazia Pia, and Paolo Saracco

Form factor approximation:

non relativistic, relativistic, modified + anomalous scattering factors

2nd order S-matrix calculations

recent calculations, not yet used in Monte Carlo codes

Quantification

Statistical analysis, GoF + categorical

	current Ge	ant4	Differential cross sections					new		
	Penelope 2001	Penelope 2008	EPDL	Relativ. FF	Non-Rel. FF	Modified FF	MFF ASF	RFF ASF	SM NT	
3	0.27	0.38	0.38	0.25	0.35	0.49	0.52	0.48	0.77	
error	±0.05	±0.06	±0.06	±0.05	±0.06	±0.06	±0.06	±0.06	±0.05	

 ϵ = fraction of test cases compatible with experiment, 0.01 significance

Computational performance

Popular belief

Physics model X is intrinsically slow

Baroque methods to combine it with "faster" lower precision models and limit its use to cases where one is willing to pay for higher precision

This design introduces an additional computational burden due to the effects of inheritance and the combination algorithms themselves

Truth

Physics model X is intrinsically fast

But its computationally fast physics functionality is spoiled by an inefficient sampling algorithm



e time

 No code smell
 Spotted through in-depth code review in the course of software validation

Maria Grazia Pia, *INFN Genova*

Change the sampling algorithm!⁸²























Dealing with legacy code

Legacy code often lacks tests



Techniques to make existing code testable

The legacy code dilemma

It works It doesn't hurt... so long as you don't want to change it

When we change code, we should have tests in place

To put tests in place, we often need to change code

Lack of tests distinguishes legacy code from non-legacy code

Most of the fear involved in making changes to large software systems is **fear of introducing bugs**

With tests, you can change (and improve) your code

Without tests, you just don't know whether things are getting better or worse

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Legacy management strategy

- 1. Identify change points
- 2. Find an inflection point
- 3. Cover the inflection point
 - a. Break external dependencies
 - b. Break internal dependencies
 - c. Write tests
- 4. Make changes
- 5. Refactor the covered code



Test Covering

A set of tests used to introduce an invariant on a code base

- Usually cover a set of classes
- Provide some "invariant" that let us know when we have changed the behaviour of our system
 - get that invariant before refactoring or adding new behavior
- Correctness is defined by original behaviour of the code base
 - ...but was the code base ever verified?

Identify change point

can't get this class in a test harness

Inflection Point

A narrow interface to a set of classes

If anyone changes any of the classes behind an inflection point, the change is either detectable at the inflection point, or inconsequential in the application

Cover an inflection point

Write tests for it

Hard point: make it compile in a test harness

Usually requires breaking dependencies

External dependencies

objects which we have to provide to setup the object we are creating (e.g. in a constructor)

Internal dependencies

the class we want to cover creates its own objects internally

Robert C. Moretare Robert C. Moretare MORKING EFFECTIVELY WITH LEGACY CODE Michael-C.-Feathers

Techniques for breaking dependencies

Pia, INFN Genova

Write tests Make changes Refactor

I can't get this class in a test harness

- Objects of the class can't be created easily
- The test harness won't easily build with the class in it
- The constructor we need to use has bad side effects
- Significant work happens in the constructor, and we need to sense it

"Tricks" to make the class testable

Irritating parameter

How am I going to construct these parameters for the test?

```
}
....}
Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova
```

Irritating parameter: Solution 1 Solution to irritating parameter

. . .

Extract interface + create FakeConnection class



public class FakeConnection implements IRGHConnection { public RFDIReport report; public void connect() {} public void disconnect() {}

Solutions for irritating parameter

Pass null

- If an object requires a parameter that is hard to construct
- If the parameter is used during your test execution an exception will be thrown
- You can then still reconsider to construct a real object

Variant solution: "null object"

- A sibling to the original class with no real functionality
- Returns default values

Hidden dependency

```
mailing_list_dispatcher::mailing_list_dispatcher()
  : service(new mail_service), status(MAIL_OKAY)
{
  const int client_type = 12;
  service->connect();
  status = MAIL_OFFLINE;
  ...}
  The constructor
  relies on the class
  mail_service
```

We don't want to initialize the mail_service, because then we connect to the network and start sending actual mails...

Solution to hidden dependency

Parameterize constructor

mailing_list_dispatcher::mailing_list_dispatcher
(mail_service* service) : status(MAIL_OKAY)

Big improvement

Allows for introducing a fake mail service

Extract interface for mail_service

Introduce fake class that senses the things we do

Hidden method

• How do we write a **test for a private method**?

Two obvious solutions:

- Make the method public
- Change the private method to protected and then subclass it

... and more No time to go into details



Tip on software development

Most of these problems can be easily solved if we simply write tests as we develop our code

- If a test is hard to write, that means that we have to find a different design which is testable
- It is always possible



Provocative thought...

Need to refactor legacy code due to:

- Requirements change
- Computing environment changes (compilers, language standards...)
- New technology becomes available

Need to refactor legacy code due to:

- Laziness to adopt a sound software development process
- Sloppiness
- Refuse to invest in learning technology
- Contempt for good practices
- Lack of design and code reviews
- Lack of adequate mentoring

• ..



DBJECT-ORIENTED REENGINEERING PATTERNS



Serge Demeyer, Stéphane Ducasse, Oscar Nierstrasz **Object Oriented Reengineering Patterns** Morgan-Kaufmann, 2003 Revised 2008: http://www.iam.unibe.ch/~scg/OORP



Micheal Feathers **Working Effectively with Legacy Code** Prentice Hall, 2005

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Books and other resources

Martin Fowler et al. **Refactoring**: Improving the Design of Existing Code Addison-Wesley, 1999 <u>http://www.refactoring.com/</u>

Other useful books



Get a mentor!





Dealing with legacy code in a disciplined, effective way

Methods Techniques Sources for further learning

But don't forget peculiarities of physics software!

Refactoring techniques and reengineering patterns contribute to improve computational performance and facilitate software validation (not only maintenance and evolution...)

Thorough testing is the key

...but also sound background in OO methods, healthy software engineering practices and physics insight

Maria Grazia Pia, INFN Genova

Hands-on exercises

"Video store" example

- M. Fowler, Refactoring, chapter 1
- Simple problem domain
- Practice a few refactoring techniques
- Learn the method
 - Write tests, change in small steps, test, change, test...
- We'll figure out together how many steps we do as an exercise and how many we'll just review

Post-conclusion

Opportunities for real-life refactoring/reengineering projects after this school

with expert guidance, mentoring

Acquire "good habits" Contribute to a widely used HEP tool Contribute to concrete scientific advancement Work will end up in journal publications

... beware that it would be real work