## Multiple Scattering in GEANT4

## László Urbán, Central Res.Inst.Phys., Budapest

03 July 2001

#### Abstract

MSC model in G4 : its main features, development of the model and some G4/data and G4/G3 comparisons.

L.Urbán(CRIP,Budapest) 03 July 2001

1

## **Transport of charged particles:**

A charged particle starts from a given point (origin of the reference frame) moving in a given direction (dir. of the z-axis). Let p(r, d, t) denote the probability density of finding the particle at the point r = (x, y, z) moving in the direction of the unit vector d after having travelled a path length t.

The problem to be solved :

p(r, d, t) = ?

if the initial energy of the particle, the material parameters, all the cross sections are known ... The transport is governed by the transport equation

$$\frac{\partial p(r,d,t)}{\partial t} + \nabla p(r,d,t) = N \int [p(r,d^{'},t) - p(r,d,t)] \frac{d\sigma(\chi)}{d\Omega} d\Omega$$
(1)

which can be solved exactly for special cases only, but this equation can be used to derive different moments of p. The practical solutions of the particle transport can be classified as

- detailed (microscopic) simulations,
- condensed simulations
- and mixed simulation algorithms.

detailed simulation : exact, but time consuming if the energy is not small

condensed simulation: simulates the global effects of the collisions during a step, but uses approximations mixed algorithms: "hard collisions" are simulated one by one + global effects of the "soft collisions".

 $\Rightarrow$  Detailed simulation is used for low energy particles only

 $\Rightarrow$  examples of the condensed simulations : EGS,GEANT3 both use Moliere theory, GEANT4

 $\Rightarrow$  mixed simulation algorithm is used e.g in PENELOPE.

#### MSC model in GEANT4 :

- Notations: true path length or 't' path length is the total length travelled by the particle. All the physical processes restrict this 't' step.
- geometrical or 'z' path length is the straight distance between the starting and endpoint of the step, if there is no magnetic field. The geometry gives a constraint for this 'z' step.

path length correction(transformation):  $t \iff z$   $t \implies z : F(z,t)$   $z \implies t : G(t,z)$ scattering angle distribution:  $f(x,t), x = \cos\theta$ lateral displacement : R(r,t).

# PHYSICS INPUT:

(first)transport mean free path

$$1/\lambda = 2\pi N \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - \cos\chi) \frac{d\sigma(\chi)}{d\Omega} d(\cos\chi)$$
(2)

where  $\frac{d\sigma(\chi)}{d\Omega}$  is the differential cross section of the scattering,  $N = N_{Avogadro} \frac{\rho}{A}$ ,  $\rho$  is the density of the material, A is the atomic weight,  $N_{Avogadro}$  is the Avogadro's number. **i**-th transport mean free path is defined similarly with the substitution  $(1 - \cos\chi) \Longrightarrow (1 - P_i(\cos\chi))$ ,  $P_i(\cos\chi)$  - **i**-th Legendre polynomial. Instead of using the cross section directly the model uses  $\lambda$  and

 $\lambda_2$  to calculate the different (spatial and angle) distributions.

steps of MSC algorithm ( are essentially the same for many condensed simulation):

- 1. selection of step length  $\Leftarrow$  physics processes + geometry (MSC performs the  $t \Leftrightarrow z$  transformations only)
- 2. transport to the initial direction (not MSC business)
- 3. sample scattering angle  $\theta$
- 4. compute lateral displacement, relocate particle

#### STEP 1

1. take the smallest step length coming from the step limitations given by the physics processes (all but MSC)  $t = min(t_{proc1}, t_{proc2}, ..., t_{procn})$ 

2. do the 
$$t \to z$$
 transformation  $z_{phys} \iff F(z,t)$ 

- 3. ask step limit  $z_{geom}$  from geometry
- 4. take the final (geom.) step size as  $z_{step} = min(z_{phys}, z_{geom})$

5. compute the corresponding true step length  $t_{step} \iff G(t, z_{step})$ 

Model functions F(z,t) and G(t,z):

1. G4 standard (until now): not distributions, mean values only

 $z = F(z,t) = \lambda * (1 - exp(-t/\lambda))$  $t = G(t,z) = -\lambda * ln(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda})$ ( the formulae come from the theory).

2. G4 new : distributions with the theoretical mean values  $F(z,t): F(u) = \beta^2 * u * exp(-\beta * u) \text{, where } u = \frac{t}{z} - 1$   $(0 \le u < \infty) \text{, } \beta \text{ is computed from } < u > = \frac{t}{\langle z \rangle} - 1$   $G(t,z): G(v) = \gamma^2 * v * exp(-\gamma * v) \text{, where } v = \frac{t}{z} - 1$   $(0 \le v < \infty) \text{, } \gamma \text{ is computed from } < v > = \frac{\langle t \rangle}{z} - 1$ 

Geant4 Workshop 2001, Genova

# STEP 3

sample scattering angle  $\theta$  from the model distribution f(x, t)( $x = \cos \theta$ ). f(x, t):

1. G4 standard (until now):

$$f(x,t) = p * \frac{(a+1)^2 * (a-1)^2}{2 * a} * \frac{1}{(a-x)^3} + (1-p) * \frac{1}{2}$$
(3)

where  $a = 1 + \alpha * \frac{t}{\lambda}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.9$ ,  $0 \le p \le 1$ .

2. G4 new :

 $f(x,t) = q * f_0(x,t) + (1-q) * \{p * f_1(x,t) + (1-p) * f_2(x,t)\}$ (4) where  $0 \le p, q \le 1, f_i(x,t)$ -s are relatively simple functions of x and the variable  $\tau = \frac{t}{\lambda}$ .

#### STEP 4

compute the mean lateral displacement according to the theoretical formula and change the position of the particle correspondingly.

note: this step is executed only if the particle is 'far' from the boundary of the volume.













Backscattering is a difficult problem for condensed simulations. One step to the good direction in the new G4 MSC algoritm: limit the step in MSC when entering a volume . (This is NOT the user limit, the step is limited by MSC near to boundaries only!) Algorithm:

$$t_{lim} = max(\lambda, t_{min})$$
 where  $t_{min} = 0.001$  micrometer.  
if( $safety < t_{lim}$ ) and  $(tstep > t_{lim})$   
 $tstep = fact * \lambda$  and MSC limits the step.  
This means a restriction of the step length for low energy  
particles only.

Some results of this very simple algorithm follow ...

17









```
The new MSC version brought -sometime big- changes in the
physics results for low energy particles.
Is there any effect/change for a high energy setup ?
The answer is yes.
Example : 30(23 \text{ mm Fe} + 0.4 \text{ mm Si}) SICAPO calorimeter, 6
GeV e- initiated showers.
```



1000 showers/run with 0.01 mm cut.(diff en.cut in Fe/Si in G3!)

$E_{vis}$ in MeV	RMS (MeV)	ex.time(sec)
32.14	3.78	970.
31.71	3.62	1520.
32.42	3.89	1600.
<b>32.00</b>	3.79	1600.
	$E_{vis}$ in MeV 32.14 31.71 32.42 32.00	$E_{vis}$ in MeVRMS (MeV)32.143.7831.713.6232.423.8932.003.79

• more  $E_{vis}$  and bigger RMS in G4 new than in G4 std

• G4 new is slower than G4 std by 5 % and this change in speed is not due to the boundary algorithm



 $\rightarrow$  cut dependence of Evis seen in ATLAS HEC and EM barrel for electrons is probably cured with the new MSC !!!! another exercise: 20 GeV muons in the same calorimeter, 10000 showers/run (motivation:  $Evis_{G3} > Evis_{G4}$  by 3 % in the ATLAS TileCal Fe + Scint calorimeter) results (for cut = 1 mm) program Evis(MeV) RMS(MeV)  $\Delta = 100 * \frac{Evis - Evis_{G3}}{Evis_{G2}}$ G3 6.173.21 $G4 \text{ std} \qquad 6.03$ 2.93-2.3 G4 new 6.18 3.36 +0.2 $\rightarrow$  G4 std gives smaller Evis than G3 while  $Evis_{G4new} = Evis_{G3}$  (stat.error of Evis ~ 0.5 % here.) The results are similar for cut = 0.1 mm).

#### Conclusions:

- the new MSC version exists and works rather well
- G4 with the new MSC gives better physics results than G4 std ( and at least for the simple setups simulated here G4 new results are better than G3 results)
- G4 new is sligthly slower then G4 with standard MSC
- backscattering can be simulated

#### Things to do:

- write-up (preprint,paper) top priority
- results  $\implies$  EM Web gallery
- some more tuning on angle distribution (tail is overestimated, but G3 tends to underestimate the tail ...)

```
• correlations (e.g. z - cos\theta)
```





Angle distributions with G4 std MSC, motivation for MSC development!



