Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: baryon spectra

Vitaly Shklyar in collaboration with H. Lenske and U. Mosel

Institut für Theoretische Physik Universität Giessen



Vitaly Shklyar Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar

Baryon spectrum from lattice QCD

HADRON SPECTRUM COLLABORATION Overall pattern of N* states



Lattice (QCD)

- more resonances than concluded from PWA
- there are many states above 2 GeV.

Experiment (PWA), Reaction theory

- o photoproduction
- back to pion-induced experiments: increase experimental resolution (HADES at GSI, J-PARK).
- study pion-induced inelastic reactions
- investigate cascade reactions $N^* \to \pi N^* \to \pi \eta N^*$ etc. : multiparticle production

PWA and Reaction Theory: Motivation

Aim: Extract properties of baryon resonances from experiment

- accepted tool: PWA (decomposition in terms of J,P,I) (Giessen Model, Bonn-Gatchina, EBAC, SAID, Jülich etc.)
- PWA does not substitute dynamics: need to construct the reaction theory
- effective degrees of freedom: meson and baryons
- baryon resonance analysis: bring pion- and photon-induced reactions together

Giessen model. PRC71, 055206 (2005)

Bethe-Salpeter in K-matrix: dynamical model: based on eff. L_{mBB}



$(\gamma/\pi)N ightarrow \eta N$ reactions

η -photoproduction on the neutron and on the proton

I.Jeagle, B. Krusche EPJA47, 89



First peak: $S_{11}(1535)$

- $\gamma n \rightarrow \eta n$: resonance-like structure at 1.68 GeV
- $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$: dip at 1.68 GeV (well seen in new MAMI data PRC82,035208)

Main questions

- Are resonance-like structure in ηn and dip in ηp originated from the same degrees of freedom ?
- Can narrow resonance contribution be attributed to one (or both of these effects)?
- Do these effects have their counterparts in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$?

Results for the $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$ Shklyar et al PRC87 (2013)

Shklyar et al PRC71(2005)





 $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$: updated Giessen analysis vs. new MAMI 2010 data We corroborate our previous conclusions: Shklyar et al PLB650,172

- S₁₁(1535) and S₁₁(1650): destructive interference dip at 1.68 GeV; effect from P₁₁(1710) is small
- ωN threshold effect is 50 above the dip position- not decisive
- no room for narrow state of 15...20 MeV width

η -photoproduction multipoles Shklyar et al PRC87 (2013)



	$\operatorname{Re} z_0(\operatorname{GeV})$	$-2 \text{Im} z_0 (\text{MeV})$	R (MeV)	θ^{0}
$S_{11}(1535)$	1.49	100	15	-51
	1.49-1.53	90-250	3070	-130
$S_{11}(1650)$	1.65	89	19	-46
	1.64-1.67	100-170	20-50	-5080
$P_{11}(1440)$	1.386	277	126	-60
	1.35-1.38	160-220	40-52	-75100
$P_{11}(1710)$	1.67	159	11	9
	1.67-1.77	80-380	2-15	-160+190
$P_{13}(1720)$	1.67	118	12	-45
	1.66-1.69	150-400	7-23	-90160
$D_{13}(1520)$	1.492	94	27	-35
	1.505-1.515	105-120	32-38	-515
$D_{13}(1875)$	1.81	98	3	-76
	1.8-1.95	150-250	2-10	20180
$D_{15}(1675)$	1.64	108	20	-49
-	1.655-1.665	125-150	22-32	-2140
$D_{15}(1680)$	1.66	98	33	-32
	1.665-1.68	110-135	35-45	030

Vitaly Shklyar Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar

Results for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta p$ production



 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$: updated Giessen coupled-channel analysis

- overlap of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ states destructive interference dip at 1.68 GeV
- contribution from $P_{11}(1710)$ second peak
- interference between S_{11} and P_{11} partial waves second peak at mostly forward angles (prediction from Giessen Model).

New results on $(\pi/\gamma)N \rightarrow \eta N$ reaction

- PWA the high statistic MAMI data, resonance parameters (poles parameters), ηN scattering lengths, η-multipoles are extracted Shklyar et al PRC87 (2013)
- unified picture from Giessen Model in terms of $S_{11}(1535)$, $S_{11}(1650)$ and $P_{11}(1710)$
- **NO FURTHER PROGRESS** without new $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$ measurements
- support HADES for a new pion beam experiment

$(\gamma/\pi)N \to K\Lambda$ reactions

- updated analysis of strangeness production
- full experiment (CLAS, CB-ELSA, GRAAL): ^{dσ}/_{dΩ}, C_z , C_x , P, Σ, T, O_z, O_x reaction is overdetermined
- combined analysis of $\gamma p \rightarrow K \Lambda$ and $\pi N \rightarrow K \Lambda$ reaction

GiM vs $\gamma/\pi \rightarrow K\Lambda$ reactions



- Gi Model $S_{11}(1535)$, $P_{13}(1720)$, $P_{13}(1900)$
- good description of exp. data except C_z;
 EBAC -similar behavior
- missing N* contributions?
- consistency between $\gamma N \rightarrow K \Lambda$ and $\pi N \rightarrow K \Lambda$ data ?

Double spin asymmetries. Explanation

Electromagnetic coupling of N^* :

Electromagnetic component: (multipoles) E and M

 $\leftrightarrow \qquad \text{Helicity amplitudes } A^p_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } A^p_{\frac{3}{2}}$

- spin- $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ resonance S₁₁(1650)****: electric coupling: no spin flip $\rightarrow C_z=1$
- spin- $\frac{1}{2}^+$ resonance P₁₁(1710)**: magnetic coupling: spin flip $\rightarrow 1 < C_z < -1$
- spin-^{3/2+} resonance P₁₃(1900)**: electric and magnetic coupling: both spin flip and non-flip combination of A^p_{1/2} and A^p_{3/2} is crucial A^p_{1/2} + A^p_{3/2} spin rotation is possible → 1< C_z < -1

$\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$ reactions

investigate cascade reactions e.g. $N^* \to \pi N^* \to \pi \pi N$ etc. : multiparticle production

Analysis of $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$: Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz PRD**30**,(1984) 904.

Next step: improve description of the $2\pi N$ channel

so far: N^* decay into 'generic' 2π channel

- take $2\pi N$ inelastic flux into account
- $N^* \rightarrow 2\pi N$ couplings constrained by $\sigma_{\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N}^{JI}$



$\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi$ channel in the first resonance energy region

BSE in the isobar approximation: system of coupled-channel integral equations



 $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$ amplitude from BSE



Next step: improve description of the $2\pi N$ channel

 $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$ reaction via ρN , $\pi \Delta$ channels



Assumptions

• decays $N^*
ightarrow
ho N$, σN , $\pi \Delta$ drive the $\pi N
ightarrow 2\pi N$ channel



• two-step diagrams are neglected



Roper resonance N(1400) properties:

- Manley & Saleski PRD30 904, $Br(\Delta \pi) = 22\%$ $Br(\sigma N) = 9\%$
- Vrana et al PRPL328, $Br(\Delta \pi) = 16\% Br(\sigma N) = 12\%$
- Sarantsev et al PLB659,94, $Br(\Delta \pi) = 21\% Br(\sigma N) = 17\%$
- Jülich Model: PRC62: pion exchange is responsible for a large amount of attraction: P(1440) is dynamically generated
- Crystal Ball PRL91(2003): PWA of the $2\pi^0$ -subsystem: σ -meson production via pion exchange is small
- Crystal Ball PRL69(2004): measurement of the $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi^0 N$ -reaction: no direct evidence for a strong σN subchannel

t-channel pion exchange: σN how large?



- coupling constants are well fixed
- $g_{\pi NN} = 13$, $g_{\sigma \pi \pi} = 3.25$ correspond to $m_{\sigma}^0 = 600$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\sigma \pi \pi} = 600$ MeV
- contribution from the t-channel diagram is well fixed
- shed light on the σ -meson dynamics
- background mechanism in $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$ reaction



Vitaly Shklyar

Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar

σ -meson dynamics

propagator of the σ -meson





$$A_{\sigma}(s) = rac{1}{\pi} \, rac{\Sigma_{\sigma}(s)}{(s-m_{\sigma}^2)^2 + \Sigma_{\sigma}^2(s)}$$

Vitaly Shklyar

Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar

Effect from the σ -spectral function



t-channel pion exchange: σN how large?



- coupling constants are well fixed
- $g_{\pi NN} = 13$, $g_{\sigma\pi\pi} = 2$ correspond to $m_{\sigma}^{0} = 600$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\sigma\pi\pi} = 600$ MeV
- contribution from the t-channel diagram is well fixed
- shed light on the σ -meson dynamics
- background mechanism in $\pi N \rightarrow 2\pi N$ reaction



Vitaly Shklyar

Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar

Giessen Model vs. Crystal Ball data



Roper resonance



- good description of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\pi^0 n$ data
- three-body unitarity is maintained

$$\operatorname{Im} T_{\pi N}^{11} = \frac{k^2}{4\pi} (\sigma_{\pi N}^{11} + \sigma_{2\pi^0 N}^{11})$$

Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar



GiModel for $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ reaction

- model space is extended to include σN , $\pi \Delta$, and ρN channels
- t-channel pion exchange underestimates the 2π⁰ data.
- do not rule out dynamical pole; however if it exists the contribution to the production cross section should be small
- calculation with a genuine Roper resonance: nice description of the CB-measurements

Pion-experiments: still important for spectroscopy?

Elastic πN scattering: weak $N^* \rightarrow \pi N$ coupling

- resonance contribution to the cross section: $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \sim g_{\pi NN^*}^4$
- the standard PWA might be not sensitive to N* with small πN coupling
- signal from N* with small πN coupling are shadowed by contributions from N* with large πN coupling



Another possibility: inelastic reactions

inelastic $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$, ωN , ρN ... etc scattering

My argument:

• resonance contribution to e.g. η -production: $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \sim g_{\pi NN^*}^2 g_{\eta NN^*}^2$ • signals from N* with small πN coupling can be visible provided $g_{\eta NN^*}^2$ is large



signals from N* with small πN coupling are less shadowed by contributions from N* with large πN coupling: no clean signal from D₁₃(1520), D₁₅(1680), F₁₅(1680) in πN → ηN



Partial wave analysis of pion- and photon-induced reactions: bar