Experience on 3D Silicon Sensors for ATLAS IBL

G. Darbo\textsuperscript{a} *

\textsuperscript{a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Genova,
via Dodecaneso 33, 16145 Genova, Italy
E-mail: giovanni.darbo@ge.infn.it

ABSTRACT: To extend the physics reach of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), upgrades to the accelerator are planned which will increase the peak luminosity by a factor 5-10. To cope with the increased occupancy and radiation damage, the ATLAS experiment plans to introduce an all-silicon inner tracker with the high luminosity upgrade (HL-LHC). The detector proximity to the interaction point will require new radiation hard technologies for both sensors and front end electronics. 3D silicon sensors, where plasma micro-machining is used to etch deep narrow apertures in the silicon substrate to form electrodes of PIN junctions, represent possible solutions for inner layers. Based on the gained experience with 3D silicon sensors for the ATLAS IBL project and the on-going developments on light materials, interconnectivity and cooling, we will discuss possible solutions to these requirements as well as key design aspects and device fabrication plans.
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1. Introduction

The Insertable B-Layer (IBL) is a fourth pixel layer added to the present pixel detector of the ATLAS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), between a new vacuum pipe and the current inner pixel layer. The principal motivations of the IBL are to provide track pattern recognition robustness, precision for b-tagging and vertexing performance as the instantaneous luminosity of the LHC increases beyond the design luminosity of $10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and the integrated radiation deteriorates the performance of inner pixel layers. The requirements on the radiation damage for the IBL was set to $5 \times 10^{15} \text{MeV n}_{\text{eq}} \text{cm}^{-2}$ of NIEL (non-ionizing energy loss) and 2.5 MGy of TID (total ionizing dose).

3D silicon sensors, originally proposed in 1997, are suitable for high doses of nuclear interacting particles because their electrode distance being typically shorter than in usual planar sensors. In the 3D sensors the electrical field is defined by the column distance, while in the planar ones by the distance between the facing surfaces of the detector. After heavy irradiation damage, there is an increase of the full depletion voltage, and a decrease of the charge collection efficiency due to carrier trapping. Reducing the distance between electrodes lowers the depletion voltage: for 3D at IBL life dose less than 200 V are needed to full deplete the sensor, while a 200 µm thick planar requires 1000 V or more.

In 2007, the ATLAS management approved an internal R&D on 3D silicon sensors for studying the application at future upgrades of the experiment for the high luminosity upgrade of the LHC (HL-LHC); the ATLAS 3D Collaboration is then formed. In 2009 the IBL project has been started by ATLAS, with a plan of installation in 2016; the 3D collaboration decides to prototype sensors fulfilling the requirement for the IBL in term of radiation and with a layout matching the FE-I4 chip in design. In 2011 there is a schedule advancement of two years of the ("fast-track") IBL installation and the sensor technology is reviewed on the base of the built module prototypes with planar and 3D sensors. The measured performance, before and after irradiation, of the 3D module prototypes, was convincing to use them for the first time in an experiment. The proposal
Figure 1. (b) Layout of the IBL detector with the 14 staves around the IBL positioning tube (IPT) and (c) zoom of one stave side where is visible a 3D sensor module. (a) Stave layout with the organization of planar and 3D sensor modules.

was of a mixed-sensor IBL layout: planar sensors in the central pseudo-rapidity region and 3D in the forward/backward part, where tracking would benefit of a more uniform charge collection, after irradiation, across the sensor depth. The IBL layout is shown in figure [1]. There are 14 staves in a turbine structure; each stave has 12 modules with double-chip planar sensors in the center and 4 forward single-chip 3D sensors at the two extremities.

As of today the IBL detector is completed, under commissioning and ready for the next year restarting of LHC.

2. Sensor design, production and results

The 3D silicon sensor used in the IBL have been produced by two silicon foundries [5, 6, 7]: CNM1 and FBK2, on 230 μm thick, 4-inch FZ p-type wafers having a resistivity of 10 − 30 kΩ cm. A wafer floorplan and sensor geometry for FE-I4 [8] pixel front-end chip was defined in common with the different sensor producers participating in the prototype program coordinated by the ATLAS 3D Collaboration. In addition to the two already mentioned foundries also SINTEF3 and SNF4 participated in the prototype program. A total of 8 FE-I4 single-chip sensors fit in a wafer layout.
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Figure 2. At the top, schematic cross-section of the 3D detector with passing-through columns from FBK (left) and with partial columns from CNM (right) fabricated on a p-type substrate (not to scale). At the bottom photos of a FBK (left) and CNM (right) sensors bump-bonded to a FE-I4 chip.

**Layout and process.** The processes for the 3D sensors used by FBK and CNM are shown in figure 2, while in figure 3 are shown micro-photographies of a corner of the sensors together with layout blowups. In both cases it is used a double-side process, with n⁺-columns (junction) etched from the front wafer side (bump-bonding side) and p⁺-columns (ohmic) from the back side. In the CNM processing, columns do not pass all through the wafer, but stop at a short distance from the surface of the opposite side; in the case of FBK sensors the original technology, similar to CNM, was later modified for the IBL to allow for passing through columns. Additional difference in the process of the columns is the partial filling with poly-silicon in case of CNM, while FBK leaves them empty.

SINTEF and SNF use a single-sided process with both junction and ohmic columns etched from the front side. This process allows for active sensor edges by the use of etched trenches completely filled with p⁺ doped poly-silicon. Such technology requires a handler wafer oxide-bonded to the device wafer, which needs extra steps to attach and remove. Single-sided 3D sensor has the bias connection on the front side, side that is bump-bonded to the read-out chip. To apply the bias it is necessary to extend the sensor tile with a tab overhanging from the front-end chip as shown in
To keep a common floorplan also CNM and FBK sensors have such extension tab, even if is not used; could have been removed for IBL sensor production, but the fast track IBL schedule did not allow for a redesign of the photolithography masks.

CNM and FBK designs have a 200 $\mu$m slim edge. To collect the currents generated by the dicing defects in the crystal, a fence structure with additional ohmic columns is placed all around the sensor tile. In the case of FBK such columns are floating, while for CNM one line of columns is connected to a metal ring grounded throughout the front-end chip by dedicated bump-bond pads. It has been successively shown that the 200 $\mu$m edge can be reduced to less than 100 $\mu$m without significantly affecting sensor performance, i.e., leakage current and breakdown voltage.

For FBK sensors, surface isolation in between junction columns is ensured by a p-spray layer (furthermore, being the columns full passing, the p-spray is necessary on both wafer sides); while for CNM sensors is done by p-stop.

Test on wafer and production yield. To test sensor tiles on wafer, FBK (and also SINTEF/SNF) uses a temporary metal shown in figure 3(a); the deposited metal connects all the 336 pixels in one column transforming the pixel sensor into a strip detector with probing pads on one tile side. This aluminum layer is deposited at the end of the fabrication process and removed after the test. The I–V of each of the 80 strips is measured by a dedicated probe card with respect to the back-side metallization. Each I–V is representative of all the 336 pixel shorted together; the total I–V is obtained by summing up the 80 curves.

For CNM sensors, the temporary metal was not fully compatible with the process and available testing instruments at the foundry and a different testing strategy is used. In this case is evaluated the guard ring (see figure 3(a)) current as function of the applied voltage. Tiles that have breakdown voltage ($V_{\text{bd}}$) greater than 25 V and leakage current ($I_{\text{leak}}$) at 20 V respectively less than 0.2 $\mu$A for CNM guard ring and 2 $\mu$A for the whole tile for FBK are selected for assembly into full module, i.e., bumb-bonded to FE-I4 and dressed with the flex-hybrid circuit. The sensor I–V is re-measured on assembled modules. Correlation between $V_{\text{bd}}$ measured on tile and on assembled module shows that for FBK the selection criterium is valid (see figure 3(b)).

---

**Figure 3.** Microphotograph of 3D sensors seen from front-side with blowup layout comparisons. The two photos show: (a) CNM and (b) FBK sensors for FE-I4 pixel chips.
whereas guard ring measurement is not good enough (see figure 4(a)). CNM is considering alternative testing method for future 3D sensor designs, like a high resistivity poly-silicon biasing grid. Out of all processed and tested wafers, there are 33 wafers from FBK and 40 from CNM that passed the selection criteria of having three or more good tiles (basically fulfilling \( V_{bd} \), \( I_{leak} \) and mechanical quality requirements). Selected wafers are then processed for under bump metallization (UBM), needed step for bump-bonding at IZM\(^5\), and good tiles are flip-chipped onto FE-I4. All modules received from IZM are assembled adding a flex-hybrid circuit, glued on top of the sensor back-side, that is then wire-bonded to the FE-I4 for signal and power and to the sensor for bias voltage. The yield for the module assembly is 50\% for CNM and 62\% for FBK considering all batches from IZM. Major yield killer are disconnected bumps for FBK and the breakdown voltage for CNM (see the guard ring criterium). The bump-bonding process has been cured after the first production batch and the yield for remaining part of the modules increased to 67\% for CNM and to 62\% for FBK.
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**Figure 4.** Measurement of breakdown voltage (\( V_{bd} \)) on wafer in the production foundries versus (\( V_{bd} \)) after assembly in a pixel detector module with a FE-I4 chip. Measurement on wafer is done by contacting the fence guard ring for CMN (a) and by temporary metal connecting pixels into strips for FBK (b).

3. Experience with sensor: performance with modules and in the IBL

Sensor and modules have been extensively studied at test-beam and in the laboratory, prior and after irradiation, as part of the quality assurance (QA) of modules and loaded staves, and finally in the commissioning of the IBL detector in the pit. Some results are given here.

**Depletion and breakdown voltage.** The sensor depletion voltage is typically lower for FBK than for CNM sensors. This is mainly due to the different design of all through columns respect to partial columns. On the other side the \( V_{bd} \) for CNM sensors is significantly higher before irradiation (see figure 5(a)), and marginally higher after IBL life dose (5 \( \times \) \( 10^{15} \) \( n_{eq} \) cm\(^{-1} \)).

Capacitance and noise. Modules with 3D sensors have been extensively operated with threshold as low as \(1500 \text{ e}^-\) at the test beam, irradiated and non, and as part of the QA of modules on local supports (staves). Noise is slightly higher for FBK (mean = \(140.3 \text{ e}^-\)) respect to CNM (mean = \(130.7 \text{ e}^-\)) as measured (discriminator threshold set at \(3000 \text{ e}^-\) and operating at a temperature of approximately \(-15^{\circ}\)) in the entire set of modules passing the IBL QA. Higher noise in the FBK modules is due to the higher capacitance of the pixel (all through columns). The noise measured on installed IBL, with final cabling, is slightly higher than what measured on the module QA. In figure 5 is shown the distribution of average module noise for all the modules in the IBL. Planar modules have an average noise that is 30\text{ e}^- lower that 3D. This is compatible with a lower measured capacitance of planar pixel sensors of 110 \text{ fF} respect to 169 \text{ fF} of 3D. [12]

Track efficiency. Efficiency of 3D sensors has been evaluated at several test beam campaigns. Un-irradiated 3D sensors have shown near 100\% efficiency when tilted by \(15^{\circ}\); at \(0^{\circ}\) CNM sensors have shown 99.6\% efficiency and FBK 98.8\%. Higher efficiency of CNM sensors is explained by having shorter columns and being the charge under junction and ohmic columns contributing to the total signal. After an irradiation dose of \(5 \times 10^{15} \text{ } \text{1n}_{eq} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), the efficiency reaches 99.0\% for CNM and 98.2\% for FBK sensors, with modules tilted at \(15^{\circ}\) and operating at \(V_{\text{bias}}\) of 160\text{ V}. Efficiencies quoted here have been measured with modules operating at a threshold of \(1500 - 1600 \text{ e}^-\).

"High \(\eta\)" behavior. 3D modules are located in the forward region of the IBL, where interaction tracks are at small incidence angle. For precision tracking it is important the uniformity of the charge collection across the sensor thickness: small variations in cluster size affect the position of the hit. Test-beam measurements have been dedicated to detector modules that have been tilted in the direction of the long pixels, simulating tracks at high pseudo-rapidity (\(\eta\)) in the IBL. Figure 6 shows the measured and geometrically calculated cluster sizes as function of the tilt angle. Un-irradiated and irradiated 3D sensors show the same size of cluster, which also reproduce the value predicted geometrically; this is an indication that charge is collected uniformly across the pixel depth.

Figure 5. Distribution of \(V_{\text{bd}}\) for whole production of IBL modules (a) and average modules noise for all the 14 IBL staves during commissioning in the "pit" (b).
4. Looking at the future: 3D sensors for experiments upgrade at HL-LHC

A new generation of 3D sensors is under development for the upgrades of ATLAS and CMS at the HL-LHC. The new pixel detectors will reach four times more dose \((2 \times 10^{16} \text{n_{eq} cm}^{-2})\) in their inner layers and will have five times smaller pixels \((50 \times 50 \mu m^2 \text{ or } 25 \times 100 \mu m^2)\). To compete with such requirements, the 3D sensors need some improvements. Smaller pixel area calls for thinner sensors; higher radiation dose asks the reduction of the electrode spacing to overcome charge trapping. Pixel capacitance has to go down to reduce power and noise in the pixel electronics. 

The signal expected for 3D pixel cells, from semi-analytical model \([11]\), in 100 µm thick detector after total HL-LHC dose is in the range of \(3200 – 3700 e^-\), being the lower limit for square pixels \((50 \times 50 \mu m^2)\) and the higher for rectangular \((25 \times 100 \mu m^2)\). Capacitance, instead, is higher for rectangular pixels than for square: \(50 – 100 fF\) for a thickness of 150 µm. Since collected charge and signal efficiency go down with reduction in thickness and radiation dose, new electronic has to operate at lower threshold, and again noise is an important factor to look at. To increase efficiency it is also important to reduce the column diameter: either go to shorter columns or increase the aspect ratio. The 3D process is typical more complex than that of a planar process. In the IBL, the number of process steps (masks) was significantly bigger that that of the planar devices and also the yield was lower. Improvement of both is important: the new process that will be used by FBK has 30% less steps and also criticality of the design has been studied and improved. Another way to reduce cost is going to 6-inch wafers.

In figure 7(a) is shown the new process in development at FBK. This process is single-sided and use wafer bonded substrates: a low resistivity CZ wafer is directly bonded (without oxide interface) to a FZ device wafer having high resistivity. The low resistivity wafer is used as mechanical support and electrically conductive backplane to bring the bias voltage to the ohmic columns; the high resistivity wafer thickness is optimized for charge collection performance and not for mechanical reasons. Handling wafer is afterward thinned down by grinding process to a suitable value, which guarantee enough mechanical robustness. CNM is also looking for improvements in the process, as the previously mentioned poly-silicon grid for I–V testing on wafer, or as the increasing of the columns aspect ration using a cryogenic DRIE (deep reactive ionizing etching) process.
Figure 7. Illustration of the new 3D process at FBK on SiSi direct wafer bond substrates (a) with layout of the pixel cell (b).

5. Conclusions

3D sensors have been successfully developed and produced for the IBL detector; they are used for the first time in an experiment fulfilling the requirements of the new ATLAS pixel layer. The experience gained from IBL has shown to be very useful to improve this type of detectors for the next generation of pixel trackers in ATLAS and CMS at the HL-LHC.
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