Partons versus Dipoles

A biased view of recent progress on showers

Stefan Höche

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

Workshop on QCD Factorization

Buffalo, 11/03/2015





Motivation to stay for this talk



Short distance interactions

- Signal process
- Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Factorization

- Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$

-SI AC

Short distance interactions

- Signal process
- Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Factorization

- Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$

SI AG

Short distance interactions

- Signal process
- Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Factorization

- ▶ Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$



- Short distance interactions
 - Signal process
 - Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Factorization

- ► Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$





 $\sigma_{2\to 2}^{\rm incl} \mathcal{F}_{\rm MC}(Q^2)$

-SLAC









+...



-SLAC

[Marchesini,Webber] NPB310(1988)461

 Gluons with large wavelength not capable of resolving charges of emitting color dipole individually

Emission occurs with combined charge of mother parton instead

 \leftrightarrow

- Net effect is destructive interference outside cone with opening angle defined by emitting color dipole
- ► Soft anomalous dimension A is halved as a consequence of changed *z*-bounds

- Observed in 3-jet events
- Purely virtuality ordered PS's produced too much radiation in central region
- Angular ordered / angular vetoed PS's ok





[CDF] PRD50(1994)5562

[Nagy] hep-ph/0601021 [Schumann,Krauss] arXiv:0709.1027 [Plätzer,Gieseke] arXiv:0909.5593



- Captures dominant coherence effects (3-parton correlations)
- Does not account for sub-leading color effects

[Gustafsson,Pettersson] NPB306(1988)746 [Kharraziha,Lönnblad] hep-ph/9709424

SLAC

► To obtain correct soft evolution, ordering variable must be identical for both "dipole ends" (→ recombine eikonal at integrand level)



- ► Solution found in LDC model, but initial state treated non-perturbatively
- ► Alternative approach [Winter,Krauss] arXiv:0712.3913

[Prestel,SH] arXiv:1506.05057

Choose parametrization such that soft term is $\frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2+\kappa^2}$ in all dipole types



- Phase-space factorization from [Catani,Seymour] hep-ph/9605323
- ► z_j can be interpreted as light-cone momentum fraction of emitted parton → mapping onto collinear limit becomes trivial

[Prestel,SH] arXiv:1506.05057

SLAC

Construction principles for a hybrid shower

- Dipole-like picture (emitter & spectator identified)
- Properties of evolution variables from dipole cascades
- Collinear anomalous dimensions unchanged
- Flavor and momentum sum rules preserved

Defines complete set of LO splitting functions

$$\begin{split} P_{qq}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 C_F \left[\left(\frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 + \kappa^2} \right)_+ - \frac{1+z}{2} \right] + \gamma_q \,\delta(1-z) \\ P_{gg}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 C_A \left[\left(\frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 + \kappa^2} \right)_+ + \frac{z}{z^2 + \kappa^2} - 2 + z(1-z) \right] + \gamma_g \,\delta(1-z) \\ P_{qg}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 C_F \left[\frac{z}{z^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{2-z}{2} \right] \\ P_{gq}(z,\kappa^2) &= T_R \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \end{split}$$







Parton shower merged with 1-jet tree-level ME using CKKW-L



14

-SLAC

Extension to a subtraction method and MC@NLO

[SH] TBP?

SLAC

- ► Can view new shower model as modification of CS subtraction
- ▶ IR-finite counterterms computed and implemented in Sherpa (improved cancellation in $pp \rightarrow h + j$ due to regulated 1/z terms)
- Sherpa MC@NLO based on exponentiation of CS dipole subtraction terms

[Krauss,Siegert,Schönherr,SH] arXiv:1111.1220, arXiv:1208.2815

- Dire modified CS subtraction automatically available for MC@NLO matching
- Interesting differences due to evolution variables and kernels



Towards higher logarithmic accuracy

[Krauss,Li,Prestel,Schönherr,SH] TBP!

- ► Big drawback of parton showers is lack of higher-order kernels
- Start improving with spacelike NLO splitting functions [Curci,Furmanski,Petronzio] NPB175(1980)27, PLB97(1980)437
- 2-loop cusp term subtracted & combined with LO soft contribution (similar to CMW rescaling [Catani,Marchesini,Webber] NPB349(1991)635)
- ► Implemented using weighting algorithms [Schumann, Siegert, SH] arXiv:0912.3501



Parton showers are indispensable tools for

- phenomenology
- experimental analysis
- experiment design
- ► Matching at (N)NLO & merging at (N)LO can improve PS approximation at fixed jet multiplicity → focus area of development during past decade
- Genuine reduction of uncertainties can only be achieved by improved resummation
- Incorporation of sub-leading logarithms just started ... stay tuned!

Thank you for your attention!



Dire anomalous dimensions

In the notation of NPB244(1984)337, $\gamma_{ab}(N,\kappa^2) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, z^N P_{ab}(z,\kappa^2)$:

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{qq}(N,\kappa^2) &= 2C_F\,\Gamma(N,\kappa^2) - \frac{C_F\,(2N+3)}{(N+1)(N+2)} + \gamma_q \\ \gamma_{gq}(N,\kappa^2) &= 2C_F\,\mathrm{K}(N,\kappa^2) - \frac{C_F\,(N+3)}{(N+1)(N+2)} \\ \gamma_{gg}(N,\kappa^2) &= 2C_A\,\Gamma(N,\kappa^2) + 2C_A\,\mathrm{K}(N,\kappa^2) - \frac{2C_A\,(N+3)}{(N+1)(N+2)} - \frac{2C_A}{N+3} + \gamma_g \\ \gamma_{qg}(N,\kappa^2) &= -\frac{T_R\,N}{(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{2T_R}{N+3} \end{split}$$

-SLAC

where

$$\begin{split} &2\Gamma(N,\kappa^2) = \frac{2\,_3F_2\left(1,1,\frac{3}{2};\frac{N+3}{2},\frac{N+4}{2};-\kappa^{-2}\right)}{(N+1)(N+2)\,\kappa^2} - \ln\frac{1+\kappa^2}{\kappa^2}\\ &2\mathrm{K}(N,\kappa^2) = \frac{2\,_2F_1\left(1,\frac{N+2}{2};\frac{N+4}{2};-\kappa^{-2}\right)}{(N+2)\,\kappa^2} \ . \end{split}$$

Dire kinematics mapping

 ϕ_n^* spectrum, Z \rightarrow ee (dressed) p_T spectrum, $Z \rightarrow ee$ (dressed) 10^{-1} $\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm fid}} \frac{{\rm d}\sigma_{\rm fid}}{{\rm d}\phi_{\eta}^*}$ 101 DIRE GeV 10^{-2} dofid her 10^{-3} local recoil local recoil global recoil 10^{-4} global recoil 10^{-1} 10-5 10^{-2} 10^{-6} 10-7 1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 Ratio Ratio 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 101 10^{2} p_{T,ll} [GeV] ϕ_n^*

- ► Two mapping schemes for IF dipoles → local [Catani,Seymour] hep-ph/9605323 and global [Plätzer,Gieseke] arXiv:0909.5593, [Schumann,Siegert,SH] arXiv:0912.3501
- Negligible impact on q_T-spectrum in low-q_T range (spectrum dominated by singlet evolution at LHC energies)

 \blacktriangleright Leading-order calculation for observable O

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,O(\Phi_B)$$

NLO calculation for same observable

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \left\{ \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{V}(\Phi_B) \right\} O(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \,\mathrm{R}(\Phi_R) \,O(\Phi_R)$$

-SLAC

Parton-shower result (until first emission)

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{MC}}(\mu_Q^2, O)$$

 \blacktriangleright Leading-order calculation for observable O

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,O(\Phi_B)$$

NLO calculation for same observable

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \left\{ \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{V}(\Phi_B) \right\} O(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \,\mathrm{R}(\Phi_R) \,O(\Phi_R)$$

SLAC

Parton-shower result (until first emission)

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \left[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) \,O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \,\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) \,O(\Phi_R) \right]$$

Phase space:
$$d\Phi_1 = dt dz d\phi J(t, z, \phi)$$

Splitting functions: $K(t, z) \rightarrow \alpha_s / (2\pi t) \sum P(z) \Theta(\mu_Q^2 - t)$
Sudakov factors: $\Delta^{(K)}(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_t d\Phi_1 K(\Phi_1)\right\}$

 \blacktriangleright Leading-order calculation for observable O

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,O(\Phi_B)$$

NLO calculation for same observable

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \left\{ \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{V}(\Phi_B) \right\} O(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \,\mathrm{R}(\Phi_R) \,O(\Phi_R)$$

Parton-shower result (until first emission)

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) \,O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \,\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) \,O(\Phi_R) \bigg] \\ &\stackrel{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)}{\to} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \bigg\{ 1 - \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \bigg\} O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \,O(\Phi_R) \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Phase space: } \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 = \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}\phi\,J(t,z,\phi) \\ \mbox{Splitting functions: } \mathrm{K}(t,z) \to \alpha_s/(2\pi t)\,\sum\mathrm{P}(z)\,\Theta(\mu_Q^2-t) \\ \mbox{Sudakov factors: } \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_t\mathrm{d}\Phi_1\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1)\right\} \end{array}$

• Subtract $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ PS terms from NLO result $(t_c \to 0)$

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \left\{ \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{\mathrm{V}}(\Phi_B) + \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \right\} O(\Phi_B) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \left\{ \mathrm{R}(\Phi_R) - \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \, \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \right\} O(\Phi_R) \end{split}$$

► In DLL approximation both terms finite → MC events in two categories, Standard and ⊞ard

$$\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_B) = \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{\mathrm{V}}(\Phi_B) + \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1)$$

$$\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^{(K)} = \mathbb{R}(\Phi_R) - \mathbb{B}(\Phi_B) \mathbb{K}(\Phi_1)$$

▶ Full QCD has color & spin correlations \rightarrow NLO subtraction needed $1/N_c$ corrections faded out in soft region by smoothing function

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_B) &= \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\Phi_B) + \mathbf{I}(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \left[\mathbf{S}(\Phi_R) - \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) \, \mathbf{K}(\Phi_1) \right] f(\Phi_1) \\ \mathbf{H}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_R) &= \left[\mathbf{R}(\Phi_R) - \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) \, \mathbf{K}(\Phi_1) \right] f(\Phi_1) \end{split}$$

[Frixione,Webber] JHEP06(2002)029

SLAC

 \blacktriangleright Add parton shower, described by generating functional $\mathcal{F}_{\rm MC}$

$$\langle O \rangle = \int d\Phi_B \,\bar{B}^{(K)}(\Phi_B) \,\mathcal{F}_{MC}^{(0)}(\mu_Q^2, O) + \int d\Phi_R \,H^{(K)}(\Phi_R) \,\mathcal{F}_{MC}^{(1)}(t(\Phi_R), O)$$

Probability conservation $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{MC}(t, 1) = 1$

Expansion of matched result until first emission

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \bar{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_B) \bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) O(\Phi_B) \qquad \leftrightarrow \underbrace{=}_{\equiv 0} \underbrace{=}_{\equiv 0} \underbrace{+ \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \operatorname{H}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R) \underbrace{=}_{\equiv 0} \underbrace{+ \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \underbrace{+ \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \underbrace{+ \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{\equiv 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_R)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_1)}_{= 0} \bigg] + \underbrace{- \int_{e_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_1)}_{= 0} \bigg]$$

- Parametrically $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ correct
- Preserves logarithmic accuracy of PS

Method 1

[Frixione,Webber] JHEP06(2002)029

- $f(\Phi_1) \rightarrow 0$ in soft-gluon limit
- Full NLO only in hard / collinear region Missing subleading color terms in soft domain
- \blacktriangleright Only affects unresolved gluons \rightarrow no need to correct in principle

Method 2

[Krauss,Schönherr,Siegert,SH] JHEP09(2012)049

- ▶ Replace $B(\Phi_B)K(\Phi_1) \rightarrow S(\Phi_R)$, i.e. include color & spin correlations
- ► May lead to non-probabilistic Sudakov factor Δ^(S)(t) Requires modification of veto algorithm
- Exact cancellation of all divergences without additional smoothing Equivalent to one-step full colour parton shower algorithm

Does it make a difference?

▶ Top-quark pair p_T

-SLAC

[Huang,Luisoni,Schönherr,Winter,SH] arXiv:1306.2703

Forward-backward asymmetry



Non-standard veto algorithm

[Siegert,Schumann,SH] arXiv:0912.3501

<u>SI AC</u>

► In MC@NLO generate emission according to

$$\Delta^{(S)}(t,t') = \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \frac{\mathrm{S}(\Phi_B;t,z,\phi)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)}\right\}$$

"dipole-term-correct" PS

$$\begin{split} f(t) &\to \int \mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{d}\phi \,J(t,z,\phi) \,\frac{\mathrm{S}(\Phi_B;t,z,\phi)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)} \\ g(t) &\to \int \mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{d}\phi \,J(t,z,\phi) \,\mathrm{K}(t,z,\phi) \end{split}$$

- ▶ f(t)/g(t) may be negative e.g. due to subleading color dipoles → probabilistic interpretation not possible
- Split weight into MC and analytic part using auxiliary function h(t)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{f(t)}{h(t)} g(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{n+1}} \mathrm{d}t_{i} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i})}\right) g(t_{i}) \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\}\right] \\ &w(t, t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \, = \, \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} \, \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})} \frac{g(t_{i}) - f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i}) - f(t_{i})} \end{aligned}$$

▶ h(t) can be chosen freely, as long as sgn(h) = sgn(f), |f| < |h|